s true ? That otive that led had a motive,

Having this nd gets parties placed beyond e the murder. het her Sunday face from him Iuplin's house leaves no posvening of the be the father If he believed etter proof that then the testi-? I need not

## our.

gentlemen, I t appeares that Road, where it k with the rethe brother of on the Margate w they ran in at her to frighten the two roads and his head or moss around htened and ran don Bryenton, Somers, upon tells of seeing ay it was about he time he was the river in a act, that a Mr. de of the river 8th June, and evening about garding this is ing calves, and ards the Mud sh hid it from o saw the boat ween 6.30 and peaks without e such a wide oo late, but I ttention to the t evening and iver; and fureft for home. of the place, me? He said

before the Magistrate's Court that it was 6.30 when he got home from That fir is the period as the time he got home. Now we bathing. know that a boat did cross that river. Bradford got home at 6.30 and up to that time no boat had crossed the river, and it must have crossed after that. This testimony is corroborated by the testimony of those who were digging bait. They saw Adams, father of the little girl, and were talking to him about 5.30, up to which time no boat had crossed, We have the evidence of Paul Thompson, Joseph Davison, who is the first in order, and the Adams girl, as to the crossing of the boat. It would not be improper for me to ask my learned friend why he did not place on the stand James Millman. We have heard a good deal about the absence of Mrs, Slavin, who had no evidence to give, but how do you account for his absence ? Only upon the hypothesis that his evidence would be against the prisoner. My learned friend knew what would make his case stronger or make it weaker, and it is most extraordinary that he did not bring James Millman forward. If he could have corroborated Bradford he would have been here, and it is my duty to remark upon the absence of that witness. You saw this little Adams girl on the stand, and you know how she gave her evidence. She was a most unwilling witness, and so was her father. We had a great deal of trouble to get them here and to keep them here, for they were the friends of the prisoner. I want to call your attention to what she says : She went down to the field for the cows for the purpose of taking them home to have them milked. This is most important testimony. We have the evidence of one or two witnesses as to the time cows are milked in the country, which is given as about sundown. Now she remembers this circumstance by reason of the fact that she went down to the field for that particular purpose. She says she saw the boat on the shore, a man tying it at a distance of 70 yards My learned friend commented upon the fact that we had been tutoring -that was the word-those witnesses and asking them to come here and commit perjury. That is the charge he hurled against us. You saw how unwilling she gave her evidence, and that is the witness we are charged with tutoring. We sent Thomas McKinlay there to make a plan of the locallity, and I instructed him to see her and get her to point out to him the place she was standing when she saw the man and it was 70 yards. That is how she came to be so precise, because it was then and there measured. She says she saw William Millman at the boat and tying it. Gentlemen, was that true or false? Why should she come here to tell you that it was not true ? Why should she swear to that if it was not true? She says he walked up the Mud Road, and that he had on dark clothes and a dark hat. She knew him that evening, and she saw him again next Sunday at the Irishtown Church. The last part of this statement proves that she was speaking the truth because she then indentified him as the same man. What does Mr. Reagh, tell you ? Why, that Millman was at the church that Sunday. This is corroboration of her testimony. I think we may take, and are bound to take, this as a proved and established fact in this casethat Millman was seen at the Mud Road, that evening by Dorothy Ann Adams. We are bound to take it, no matter how bad it may be for Millman's family, unless we believe that that little girl came here to lie against her playmate, and for whom? For the crown. If she would lie at all she would lie for her friend, and net for an indefinite being called the Crown.

I want to call your attention to the law concerning the evidence of little children. There was a time in the history of British criminal law when their evidence was not taken, but that time has gone, and now their evidence, when they understand the nature of an oath is