NG UNION

nurches indiis and Paul, and let them ters.

f social courent exchange

er into their have to bear e gainers by he ministers the lead in so that union

ninion, would I OF CANADA.' ical existence, cclesiastically DOMINION, the , "THE PRES-

yw in contemthis essay, it so far as the re Church of roduced into c thus inauthe meetings n the City of held in the 'ch, 1866, at which were is their work, as there is no 'doubt that disunion has always been the creation of ministers.

4. Let the subject be quietly discussed in families and communities and congregations first. But let it not be thrust upon unwilling synods—let no effort be made to hurry it on before men's minds and hearts are prepared to give it a cordial support. Let the Synods be the last places in which it is to be discussed. Let the churches first speak from below, and speak in such a tone and with so much of hearty unanimity, that to introduce it into the Synods would be to carry it with enthusiasm. This would be bringing forth the headstone thereof with shoutings, all our people joining in the cry, "grace, grace unto it!"

concurred in by 10 others who were not present, greatly strengthened the hands of the friends of Union in the respective Synods, eliciting, by their resolutions and by the results of their correspondence with all the elders of the two Churches, the fact that not only in the City of Montreal, the most influential quarter of both Churches, but throughout the entire Synod, two-thirds of the sessions, and presumably also the same proportion of the people whom they represented, were in favour of Union. To the elders in Montreal, therefore, not a little credit is due in hastening Union.