		49	1707	1507
50.	Whether some Canadian companies	reduce wages?		
		T ALL	naka-tr	(4%)
		ON2		(13%) (36%)
		4	e Ha Ja	(47%)
		5		(*)
51.	Whether federal and provincial ghelp to Canadian businesses.	governments will be able to give		
		T ALL	7753	(4%)
		ON2	000000	(10%)
		3	90 <del></del> (8	(38%)
		4	d 3==38d	(48%)
	NO OPINION (VOLUNTEERED)	5	d ==178	(1%)
	END OF ROTATION	many sooveda mendrana kerika		
52.	which make it harder for some	s taken a number of initiatives Canadian goods and services to as softwood lumber, cedar shakes f fish.		
		at the American government might typevery likely, somewhat all likely?		
		NOT AT ALL LIKELY	( 4%)	( 4%)
	investment - 883220 300 and and and	NOT TOO LIKELY2	(13%)	(11%)
		SOMEWHAT LIKELY3	(42%)	(37%)
		VEDV ITVETV		
		VERI LIRELI	(41%)	(48%)
	Other postorious works with the	NO OPINION (VOLUNTEERED)5		(48%)
53.	Now, if the American government	NO OPINION (VOLUNTEERED)5		
53.	action, would you say the effect	NO OPINION (VOLUNTEERED)5	( 1%)	(48%)
53.	action, would you say the effect be very serious, serious, not	NO OPINION (VOLUNTEERED)5  continued to take this kind of the canadian economy would too serious, or not at all	( 1%)	(48%)
53.	action, would you say the effect be very serious, serious, not serious?	NO OPINION (VOLUNTEERED)5  continued to take this kind of the canadian economy would too serious, or not at all NOT AT ALL SERIOUS	( 1%)	(48%) (1%)
53.	action, would you say the effect be very serious, serious, not serious?	NO OPINION (VOLUNTEERED)5  continued to take this kind of the canadian economy would too serious, or not at all NOT AT ALL SERIOUS	( 2%) (15%)	(48%) (1%) (1%) (9%)
53.	action, would you say the effect be very serious, serious, not serious?	NO OPINION (VOLUNTEERED)5  continued to take this kind of the canadian economy would too serious, or not at all NOT AT ALL SERIOUS	( 1%)	(48%)