

"Judges of the Court of Appeal shall be styled Lords Justices of Appeal;" and our correspondent remarks, "no one with any sense of propriety would say anything else." It may be noted also that in M. & G. Reports the words "Lords Justices" are used as also the expression "Lords Chancellors." In the Law Reports the "Lords Justices" are named; and universally, apparently, this terminology is employed by English Judges. Murray's new English Dictionary moreover uses the same expression. Again it may be remarked that when the great seal was in commission it was handed to "Lords Commissioners." It appears therefore that the expression in 36 O.L.R. is amply justified by usage. But neither usage nor statute can alter grammar. Is it not correct to say as a general rule in reference to making a plural of compound words that one of them only should be pluralized, and not both, one of them being treated as an adjective? And if so it would be proper to say either "Lords Justice" or "Lord Justices," but not to put both words in the plural. We always say "Chief Justices" and not "Chiefs Justices" "Attorney-Generals" but not "Attorneys-Generals." So also "Masters of the Rolls" and "Barristers at law." Whether the expression "Lords Justices" ought to be regarded as an exception to, or a violation of, the general rule, we leave to the judgment of our readers.

The following words taken from a letter of one of the best of our profession in answer to words of sympathy on the death of his son at the front, is a brave and appropriate utterance :-

"When so many thousands of fathers are moaning the loss of their dear sons I cannot allow myself to feel this loss too selfishly. The men to be pitied are those who have sons who are unwilling to do their bit for king and country; for liberty and honor, at this period of our need."