

able ground for permitting such applicants, upon completing their papers before Michaelmas Term to be entered upon the books of the Society as of Trinity Term. In such cases the applicant has done all that lay in his power to obtain the qualifications within the Term as of which he seeks admission, and it is not his fault that there has been delay either in announcing the result of the examinations or in the issuing the certificates.

But with regard to the second class, viz.:—Those whose qualifying examinations do not in fact take place until after Trinity Term, and also with regard to those of the first class who fall within the second sub-division (not having given any notice until after the end of Trinity Term):—Your Committee is of opinion that there is no satisfactory principle upon which such applicants can during Michaelmas Term be given a status upon the books of the Society relating back to Trinity Term. The Committee recommend accordingly that the practice in such cases be discontinued, and that the circular or curriculum issued for the information of intending applicants be amended accordingly.

GEO. F. SHEPLEY,  
Chairman.

Dated 16th November, 1897.

The report was adopted.

Mr. Shepley, on behalf of Mr. Watson, gave notice of motion to rescind the resolutions of Convocation relating to the publication of a Century Digest.

The report of the Inspector of County Libraries was presented, and was referred to the County Libraries Committee, and it was ordered that the Inspector, Mr. Eakins, be paid \$200 for his services and expenses.

Ordered that the petition of Mr. C. C. Grant for admission as a student be referred to the Legal Education Committee.

---

## Flotsam and Jetsam.

---

Several years ago, the late Sir Francis Lockwood got a prisoner off by proving an alibi. Some time afterward the judge met him and said, "Well, Lockwood, that was a very good alibi." "Yes, my Lord," was the answer; "I had three offered me and I think I selected the best."

A young lawyer was appointed to defend a negro who was too poor to hire counsel of his own. After the jury was in the box the young lawyer challenged several jurymen who, his client said, had a prejudice against him. "Are there any more jurymen who have a prejudice against you?" whispered the young lawyer. "No, boss, de jury am all right, but now I wants you to challenge de judge. I has been convicted under him several times already, and maybe he is beginnin' to hab prejudice agin me."

The *Calcutta Weekly Notes* gives occasionally some choice morsels in the way of names. For example:—Sri Rajah Rao Lakshmi Kantaiyamma v. Sri Raja Inuganti Rajagopal Rao is the name of a suit which covers a point of practice, and was heard before the Privy Council. In another case the counsel representing Dya Gazi, Ram Kumar Brindabun Chunder Kar and Ram Lal Sukul were respectively Babu Jogendra Chunder Ghosh and Babu Hari Mohun Chuckerbutty. The *Madras Law Journal* has, however, something distinctly superior in the following name: Sri Raja Chelli Kani Venkataramanayamma Garu v. Appa Rao Bahadur Garu.