The results are shown in the following table :-

TABLE VIII.

Number of Swine in Test.	Skim-milk consumed per head per day.						
	lbs.	Lumme	corn equal to 1	-89	nounds	skim-mil	lk.
31	$\frac{2}{3}$	1 pound	mixed grain ec	mal	to 3°23	pounds	skim-milk
31 4	3 514	1 pound	mixed grain ed	mal	to 3123 5138	pounds	skim-milk
31 4 4	$\frac{\frac{5}{3}}{5}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{13}{6}$	1 pound	mixed grain ec	pual	to 3°23	bounds	skim-milk
31 4 4 5		1 pound	mixed grain ed frosted wheat	Inal '	to 3123 5138 7191	pounds	skim-milk
31 4 4 5 2	13.6	poind 	mixed grain ec	hraj .	to 3123 5138	pounds :	skim-milk

General Conclusions. From these tests and from our experience in feeding young pigs, it appears that :--

- (1.) Skim-milk may form the largest part of the feed of young and growing pigs with advantage and economy;
- (2.) For the fattening of swine weighing on the average over 100 pounds each, live weight, it is economical to give an allowance of skim-milk not exceeding 5 pounds per head per day;
- (3.) In every case the swine fed with part of their ration of skim-milk were lustier, *more rigorons* and of a more healthy appearance than swine fed wholly on a ration of grain.
- (4) Skim-milk gives the best returns for the amount fed when it constitutes a comparatively small part of the total food fed.
- (5) Skim-milk may generally speaking, be considered to be worth from one-sixth to one-fifth as much as mixed grain.

Experiments contrasting the value of whole grain with similar grain when ground, as a pork producer.

It is generally conceded that there is more or less waste when grain is fed whole to swine. Many feeders maintain, however, that the gains are practically equal from equal weights of grain whether fed whole or ground. To get some data on this point a number of experiments have been carried on here.