

THE ST. JOHN EVENING TIMES, TUESDAY, OCTOBER 25, 1904.

Local News.

Steamship Plates, Captain Marsters arrived yesterday at Hamburg at 2 p. m. from Rotterdam.

The Young Men's Class of Main street church is called together for this evening at 7.30 sharp in the vestry.

Steamship Manchester Marchant, sailed from Manchester last Sunday, at 11 a. m., for St. John, with a general cargo.

The ladies auxiliary and board of management of the Seaman's Mission Society will meet at the Chipman house tonight at 8 o'clock.

Market Slip presented a lively appearance today, eighteen schooners being in port. Most of them had cargoes of apples for St. John merchants.

Members wishing to join the R. K. Y. C. theatre party at the production of the spectacular comic opera Prince Ramon, Thursday, 27th inst., are requested to notify J. H. Kimball, on or before Wednesday.

The Furness steamship St. John City, Captain Bovey, sailed today, from this port, for London, via Halifax, with a general cargo. A large consignment of apples will be taken on board at Halifax for the London market.

At the 7 o'clock mass this morning in the cathedral Mr. Broughall, C. S. C., of St. Joseph's College, received minor orders at the hands of Bishop Casey. Tomorrow morning at the same hour he will be ordained a deacon, after which he will return to the college.

J. Willard Smith received word this morning from Captain Forgy of the schooner Mincora, stating that his vessel, during the recent storm on the Florida coast, was at Key West, which makes it certain that the Mincora was not the vessel seen abandoned off that coast after the storm.

The following programs have been prepared for the concert in Exmouth street church tomorrow evening: Piano solo, Mr. Wilbur; Reading, Miss Adelaide Barrett; Solo, Mrs. Cooper; Instrumental selections, Marster Wilby; Reading, Miss Miss Jump; Solo, Mr. Appleby; Duet, Mrs. Cole and Mrs. Tuttle; Solo, Duet, Miss Exmouth street; Solo, Miss Knott; Reading, Miss Jump.

There was a reunion on Sunday of St. Andrew's Church quartette, which was dissolved a couple of years ago. R. D. Morgan, who has been in Toronto, is now in the city, and he and the other members, Chase McKelvie, I. H. C. Bogart and Walter H. Belding got together yesterday. Last evening a complete Centenary Methodist church, the selection being Nearer my God to Thee. Mrs. Henning also sang a solo at this service.

Two Italian musicians, who have been making desperate efforts to secure an entry into Uncle Sam's territory were intercepted at the station last night. They are afflicted with the disease known as Trachoma, and have already been shipped to Farnmouth, St. Stephen, and other points. They were persevering, however, and decided to try St. John, but the vigilance of the American officials at this station would not let them pass. They went out last night in the direction of Moncton.

WEST END NOTES.

The steamer Western Examination went off duty yesterday for repairs.

Charles Marvin of west end, is slowly recovering from his recent illness.

Alfred Bray, of Prince Street, is confined to his home through illness.

A new sewer is being laid on Victoria street.

The sidewalk on Protection street, is in a disgraceful condition.

Rev. Mr. Burgess, is visiting friends at St. Stephen. He preaches in the Presbyterian church in that place on last Sunday.

POLICE COURT.

Seven offenders faced the police magistrate this morning. Four police drunks were fined \$4 each.

John Briggs a native of Newfoundland was charged by officer McNamee with using profane and obscene language. He was remanded to the workhouse in his yard. They were fined \$8 or two months in jail, but were allowed to go on their promise not to take a drink for a year.

DOG-FISH PEST.

Ottawa, Oct. 24.—Prof. Prino, commissioner of fisheries, has returned from the Eastern States, where he superintended the shipment of plant for the erection of three reduction works along our eastern coast as a means of ridding Canadian waters of the dogfish pest.

From what the professor was shown of the operation of these works along the American coast they not only succeed in using up an enormous quantity of fish offal, etc., but yield a handsome profit on the investment. Fish that are brought up at three dollars a ton are worth thirty dollars a ton after they have passed through the reduction machines. The oil is all extracted and a large price is obtained for the fertilizer that is also obtained.

OUTPUT \$9,500,000.

Seattle, Wn. Oct. 25.—Steam navigation the full length of the Yukon is practically closed. The river is full of ice. The output of the Klondike this season is nine and one half millions of dollars.

A San Francisco named Frederick Miller got a divorce from his wife several months ago on the ground of desertion and cruelty. Later she committed to an insane asylum. Now he has had the decree of divorce rescinded on the ground that he believes her reinstatement of him was due to the condition of her mind and that he wishes to care for her during the period of her insanity and afterward in the event of her recovery.

HIGH SCHOOL EXAMS. CONDEMNED IN THE WEST.

Results Will Not be Known for Two Weeks—Attendance at City Schools.

Dr. Bridges states that the results of the quarterly examinations just finished in the high school, will not be known for a week or two as the papers have not yet been checked. In reply to a question as to the enrollment in the schools he said: "We have now about 7,200 pupils in all the schools, 410 of these are in the high school. Our school buildings will, I think, compare favorably with any in Canada. Just now the attendance has dropped of somewhat to diphtheria, though it should not be so, as that building is new and the sanitary arrangements are most modern in every way."

An inquiry of Edward Manning of the school board shows the exact number of the pupils enrolled to be 7,126, about more than the same time last year.

PRINCE RAMON

Tonight for the First Time at the Opera House.

There is every indication that the first performance of Prince Ramon at the Opera House this evening, will be all that the patrons can expect in the way of musical entertainment. Principals and chorus are fully prepared to give the most splendid and every detail of their parts, and the dress rehearsal in the Neptune Room last evening, was the hearty applause of the spectators privileged to see it. Under Miss Marion Glynn's direction, the orchestra will give a finished rendering of the attractive music of the opera, they are doing unusually well for entertainments of this nature. The rest of the week at the Opera House, is given over to Prince Ramon, and the time will be none too long because there is so much to attract and interest in this many sided and clean production that nearly every one will be paying a second visit before the last performance takes place.

OBITUARY.

Mrs. Mary Fleming.

Mrs. Mary Fleming, widow of Wm. Fleming, one of the oldest residents of Colchester, died at her home, in Truro, yesterday afternoon. She was seventy-two years of age. Her husband died several months ago. Four children survive, Isabel, wife of Hon. F. A. Lawrence, liberal candidate in Colchester; Susan, wife of Mayor Lawrence; Mrs. Kennedy, and Alexander Fleming.

George Jackson.

George Jackson, died at L'Etang, Charlotte Co., on Sunday. He was a partner of J. Sutton Clark in his fishing and other operations in that section. Mr. Jackson was seventy-one years of age, and was for many years a resident of this city. He has resided at L'Etang since 1890.

Edward Ring.

Edward Ring, a highly respected resident of west end, died yesterday at the age of seventy-two years. Mr. Ring was a resident of St. John for fifty-one years. He leaves a wife and two daughters. His son resides in Minneapolis, and his two daughters are in St. Paul. Mrs. W. E. Scully, reside in Carleton. The funeral will take place on Wednesday morning.

General Irving.

The death of Samuel Irving, aged 65, took place at the General Public Hospital, early this morning. He resided on Acadia street, and has been in failing health for some time. He is survived by one brother, Joa. Irvine, of Moncton.

Albert C. Carter.

The death of Albert C. Carter, a prominent farmer of Point De Bute, took place this morning about 4 o'clock. He was returning from Moncton, where he had attended the R. L. Borden meeting, and was walking on Powell Hill, about four miles from here, when he dropped dead. Heart disease was the cause. He leaves two daughters, Mrs. Edward Dobson, of Bladworth, Assinabola, and Mrs. D. McCreedy, at Parrboro, and one son, Alexander, residing at home. His sisters are Mrs. Richard Trueman, of Seaville, Mrs. James Amos Trueman, and Mrs. Johnson Trueman, of Point De Bute. Dr. H. R. Carter, of Port Egin, is his only brother. He was a strong conservative in politics and a much respected citizen.

Moses C. Pickard.

Moses C. Pickard, a well known farmer of Kenwick died last night of heart trouble. He was seventy-seven years old.

WEDDINGS.

Bridges—Flewelling.

Harold I. Bridges of Bangor and Miss Elizabeth Flewelling of St. Martin's, N. B., were united in marriage Wednesday morning, Oct. 19, at the home of the bride in St. Martin's. Miss Flewelling is a member of one of the leading families in St. Martin's and prominent in social circles. Mr. Bridges, who is well known here, is a customs inspector at St. John, N. B. (Bangor Commercial, Oct. 22).

Irons—Belyea.

Samuel Irons and Miss Addie Belyea, of west end, were united in marriage by Rev. Howard H. Roush, at the Main street Baptist parsonage, on Oct. 5.

HOTEL ARRIVALS.

At the Royal, Collins, Montreal, F. W. Patterson, Woodstock, Ontario, and W. H. Britton, of the Cockburn, St. Andrews, A. McKee, Ottawa, and J. H. S. Satter, Truro, A. F. Bentley, Badbury, P. Palmer, St. Mary's, and J. T. Johnson, Fredericton, M. F. Keith, Harcourt, and J. D. Phinney, James Ferrell, Fredericton.

THE BATTLE LINE.

Steamer Pharsalis, Captain Footo, sailed from New York yesterday at noon, for Dunedin with a general cargo.

REV. MR. TROOP ON BIBLE CRITICISM.

The Former Rector of St. James Replies to Rev. John de Soyres.

The following letter by Rev. G. Osborn Troop formerly of this city, in reply to a letter from Rev. John de Soyres will be read with interest by the St. John friends of both clergymen:

To the Editor of the Gazette—Sir—As a mutual friend of Bishop Caruichael and Mr. de Soyres, I beg that you will allow me to make a brief comment upon the latter's criticism of the Bishop's sermon on the high criticism. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower criticism, as represented by Lightfoot, Westcott and Holt, and the higher criticism, advocated by Driver, and a long list of illustrious scholars of present-day reputation. It is a great mistake to suppose that Bishop Caruichael is at variance with the lower criticism. All real, intelligent Christians are in such criticism as that of Lightfoot and Westcott. But Bishop Caruichael's voice is the voice of the high criticism, and its opponents are the opponents of the high criticism. It is necessary to distinguish between the lower