## INSOLVENTS—APPOINTMENTS TO OFFICE—TO CORRESPONDENTS.

Chan, Cham.

MONTREAL BANK V. AUBURN EXCHANGE BANK.

Amendment of bill in respect of matter arising subsequent to the filing of it.

The plaintiffs had obtained a judgment at law against P., one of the defendants, upon coniession, and, as judgment creditors under that judgment, had filed their bill to set aside a prior judgment of other defendants, and had moved for and obtained an injunction to restrain a sale of the goods of P. under such prior judgment. After the injunction had been granted, the plaintiffs obtained another judgment against P., not upon confession, but by default. Under these circumstances, a motion for leave to amend the bill, by alleging the recovery of the second judgment, was granted. (Gr. Cham. Rep. 283.) Chan. Cham.

### RUTTAN V. SMITH.

# Enlargement of motion.

Where a party moving is not in a position to sustain his motion, the court will not grant an enlargement so as to enable him to place himself in a position to sustain it; the motion must lapse. (Gr. Cham. Rep. 286.)

Chan. Cham.

### Fellis v. Todd.

Staying suit till security given for the costs of a prior suit at law.

The plaintiff (a vendor) had sued at law to recover the purchase money due under an agreement for the sale of lands, but had failed, and the costs of the action were given against him; the defendant (the vendee) issued a fi. fa. goods to recover the costs, which was returned nulla bona. Afterwards the vendor filed his bill in equity to enforce specific performance of the contract. On motion of the defendant in the suit, the proceedings in equity were stayed till security for the costs at law should be given. (Gr. Cham. Rep. 285.)

## INSOLVENTS.

Andrew Smith	Manilla.
W. H. Vantassel	
Patrick Langrill	
Daniel J. Woodward	To. Rawdon.
M. Elliott	
Jacob Bowman	
Chas. F. Smith	
P. F. Canniff	
D. L. Comins	
George Baghurst	Montreal.
N. Bloodsworth	
Richard Benner	
Chas. Roy Lapense	
Wm. Dickson	
Henry Murren	Montreal.
Henry Weeks	
John Weeks	Woodstock.
John Mathie	Lindsay.
James Ross	
Wm. Wade Rutledge	Guelph.
Smart & Beamish	
D. N. Black	
Duncan McNaughton	Chatham.
8. D. Merick	
J. C. Thauvette	
John Brown	Toronto.
J. T. Taylor & Co	Hamilton.

Zephin Lizee	Montreal.
Joseph Parker Lane	Morven.
Wm McBain	
Francis Stephen	Montreal.
Van Every & Rumball	Goderich.
Peter Z. Romain	
W. D. Woolsey	
Jas. Crawford	Kingston.
Tho.s. Davis	Windsor
John T. Wilson	
R. T. Routh	
Fortunatus P. Wood	East Farnham
John M. Baker	Sterling
Wm. H. Birt	
Matthew C. Brown	
Joseph Faulkner	
Henry Webster	
Geo. Wilson	
Boswell Hensman	
R. H. Burtch	TD. Blandford.

## APPOINTMENTS TO OFFICE.

#### SURROGATE CLERK.

SIR JAMES LUKIN ROBINSON, Baronet, of Osgoods Hall, Barrister-at-Law, to be Surrogate Clerk, under the provisions of the chapter 16, Consolidated Statutes of Upper Canada. (Gazetted March 4, 1865.)

#### COUNTY ATTORNEY.

EDWARD TAYLOR DARTNELL, of Osgoode Hall, Ead-Barrister-at-Law, to be Clerk of the Peace and Crown County Attorney, for the United Counties of Prescott and Russell (Gazetted March 4, 1865.)

#### CORONER.

GEORGE C. McMANUS, Esq., M.D., Associate Corones, County of Simcoe. (Gazetted March 18, 1865.)

#### NOTARIES PUBLIC

GEORGE AIREY KIRKPATRICK, of Kingston, Esq., Barrister-at-Law, to be a Notary Public in Upper Canada (Gazetted March 4, 1865.)

SAMUEL BICKERTON HARMAN, of Toronto, Esquire, to be a Notary Public in Upper Canada. (Gazetted March

ARTHUR MANDEVILLE RICHARDS, of Clinton, to be Notary Public in Upper Canada. (Gazetted March 4, 1865.)

HUGH McKENZIE WILSON, of Brantford Esq., to be Notary Public in Upper Canada. (Gazetted March 4, 1865.) JOHN M. BRUCE, of Hamilton, Esq, Barrister at Law, o be a Notary Public in Upper Canada. (Gazetted March 18, 1865.)

JAMES SWIFTS, of Kingston, Esquire, to be a Notary Public in Upper Canada. (Gazetted March 18, 1865.)

### TO CORRESPONDENTS.

"An Attorney"—under "General Correspondence."

"L." We hope to make use of the contents of your letter of 10th February, in our next.

"SEVERAL READERS"—"A SUBSCRIBER"—"B. S. B."—will receive attention in our next.

"One who sat next Mr. K. at the oral."

We find that you are correct in stating that Mr. Kirk natrick was not "called" without a vive voce examination.

The papers of the gantlamen who were the gantlamen w patrick was not "called" without a viva voce examinating.
The papers of the gentleman who was passed without a viva were most creditable. The next in number of marks and probably be one convenience. would probably be our correspondent.

would probably be our correspondent.

Whilst regretting that any mistake should have occurred in this matter, we cannot forbear to remark upon perceive difficulty which we, amongst others, have given rienced in obtaining from the proper authority information with respect to matters of this kind, in which the fession are more or less interested, and which not the slightest reason or excuse for withholding, except the whim or caprice of its custodism. Our Correspondent, naturally enough, imagines that the party allude to affords us every information (consistent with his duties to his office and ease to himself; connected with good public, so to speak, proceedings of the Law Society obliged however is not the case, and we have hitherto been obliged to obtain our information from various sources, as best we could.