

*By Hon. Mr. Stirling:*

Q. That does not come to light until the court proceedings. That is a strange thing, is it not?—A. No. It would come to light before the matter came up in court. The fellow had a right to go to court to make his case. But the counsel from Washington would point this out to him, you see, and pretty well prove that he had been convicted of something or other in his own country. I think there must have been—well, not more than five or six rejected there. They were a very fine group of people. I was very much taken with them.

Q. Did attention to the United States' flag come to the fore very much? Was there anything in the nature of a ceremony?—A. No. I did not hear much about that.

*By the Chairman:*

Q. But they have to answer a question as to the history of the United States and the constitution?—A. Yes. They have to show some fair knowledge of it, although not scientific at all; but they must show that they realize what the underlying principles of the American government are and differentiate them from those of communism or fascism.

Q. I wonder if they would reject them to-day if they were found to be communists?—A. I do not know.

*By Hon. Mr. Stirling:*

Q. Does renunciation of their previous allegiance come into the performance at all?—A. Well, I cannot recollect anything on that point, Mr. Stirling.

Q. It is a notable thing in connection with oriental patriotism.—A. There were no orientals there, as far as I can remember. Do they naturalize Chinamen down there?

Q. I am referring to the question as to whether the naturalization of Japanese means anything, because we understand they do not renounce their previous affiliation.—A. Well, I do not remember anything on that point coming up. But they are certainly most careful. The last day we were in Washington there were at least eight representatives of the naturalization branch who met us and spent the whole morning with us, and each fellow had a sort of particular part of the matter under his control. One man would look after what was the man's career in the old country, for instance. I have just forgotten what the sections are, but there were eight of them, all experts in some particular respect. They go into it very, very seriously. It was amazing.

Senator PATERSON: Mr. Chairman, I might submit the recommendations, if you would care to hear them, submitted to us from the Winnipeg Canadian Club, if Judge Hyndman is through.

The WITNESS: Yes, sir. I have finished.

The CHAIRMAN: Very well, Senator Paterson.

Hon. Mr. STIRLING: May I ask one more question. Were there any coloured people among those eight?

The WITNESS: I do not think so. Not that I can remember.

Mr. Black (*Yukon*): Do they want to be naturalized?

Hon. Mr. STIRLING: They want to get into the West Indian islands on occasion.

The WITNESS: I do not remember any coloured people.

The CHAIRMAN: If there is an inquiry made about these applicants I suppose they have to give the correct name and the full identity?

The WITNESS: Oh, yes.