

argued with non-communists on the air. Another forum from Calgary a year or two ago had on it a member of the Labor Progressive party, and labelled as that, and he argued with other people about Soviet attitude or policy. There, again, we were praised because the communist point of view was so well exposed and argued against by other people. I would say that communism is a viewpoint that not many people want to hear about in Canada, and therefore as a matter of practice very seldom would any kind of communist opinion go on the air.

*By Mr. Hansell:*

Q. Following Mr. Balcer's question, might I ask this. Presumably Mr. Dunton has read Dr. Cameron's broadcast. Does he twig anything in that broadcast that plays into the hands of communist philosophy?—A. That is a difficult question.

Q. It is not difficult for me because I am saying it does.—A. I would like to read the script again. I do suggest it might be a difference of opinion whether there was any suggestion of communism.

Q. I do not say suggestion of communist. I say play into the hands, aid and abet communist philosophy, because that is exactly what it does.

Mr. MUTCH: This is a fair question: In what way could that be possible? In what way do you think that is true? That challenges me more than anything I have heard this morning. How can it be that the expression of a psychologist's viewpoint might be putting into somebody's heads ideas of communism and lead them to think of it? I cannot understand. I am interested in that. Perhaps the committee would let you indicate what you mean.

Mr. HANSELL: I would suggest in the script by Dr. Cameron he is propounding of a philosophy of man's dependence upon himself to a place where God is ruled out, and that is communism.

Mr. GAUTHIER (*Portneuf*): Exactly.

Mr. MUTCH: There are some misguided people in this country who have ruled out God. You would not surely interpret that as communism? Let us put it the other way. People who do not accept God can, by no stretch of the imagination, be considered communists or even aiding or abetting communism.

Mr. HANSELL: I am not talking about individuals. I am talking about those ideas going out to over half a million people, softening up their minds so that they are ready for the acceptance of what the communist policy holds forth. I will put it this way: I will suggest to you that every individual that was behind the peace movement propounded throughout the world recently would say "Amen" to Dr. Cameron's broadcast.

*By Mr. Langlois:*

Q. In answer to a question a while ago, Mr. Dunton stated that in his opinion the communist sympathizers in Canada were few. Are we to understand, then, if they were more numerous that they would be entitled to have their propaganda broadcast over the C.B.C?—A. I would not think so, but I suggest that regulation of communist propaganda is a matter for parliament. We try to apply with common sense the rules about it and in common sense we do not see how we can place communist propaganda on the air.

Q. Nevertheless, according to the present way of thinking of the C.B.C., they are being deprived of the privilege of listening?—A. We only speak of main viewpoints because there is no room on the air for every individual crackpot who wants to get on the air. That does not make our job any easier because we do see that the main viewpoints get on the air. Under the Canadian Broadcasting Act, communist candidates have to be given a fair chance on the air. It is up to parliament to change that.