



CANADIAN MISSION TO THE UNITED NATIONS

PRESS RELEASE No. 78

November 15, 1967

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Press Office  
866 United Nations Plaza  
Suite 250  
New York, N. Y. 10017  
PLaza 1-5600

MIDDLE EAST

Text of Statement made by the Canadian Permanent Representative, Mr. George Ignatieff, in the Security Council, on Wednesday, November 15, 1967.

My delegation has listened with greatest care and attention to the statements made at our meetings on November 9, November 13, as well as today. I have also been, as I am sure all of us **around this table have been**, reflecting on the situation facing the Council and this leads me to offer a few additional comments at this time on the best way I see that we might proceed if we are to avoid an impasse. Despite the firm and to some extent contradictory positions adopted by the parties involved in the dispute and notwithstanding the conflicting interpretations we have heard of motives and intentions and indeed of events, it does seem to me that certain things are becoming clear.

First of all, I do believe that there is a strong momentum in this Council in favour of making further effort to reach agreement. Many of my colleagues have openly supported this approach; none has rejected it. It is also significant that the representatives of the States directly concerned in the area, whatever their views on the draft resolutions before us, have not rejected efforts to find a formula designed to enable a special representative to go out to the area provided that this mission does not prejudice their respective basic positions.

Secondly, there seems to be a more general acceptance than ever before that Council action at this time has to be within the framework of Chapter 6--a framework which enables us to seek agreement on a diplomatic initiative designed to open the way to a peaceful settlement.

In this connection I welcome the constructive contribution of the distinguished representative of India as set forth at our meeting on November 13, when he recalled the terms of Article 33 which enjoins the parties to any dispute, the continuance of which is likely to endanger international