now heard from most of the members of our Working Group. We should like to hear from the members who have not yet spoken. But if they are reluctant to speak at this stage in our proceedings, perhaps it might be opportune in the near future to enter a new phase. This might, for purposes of clarification, be regarded as a meeting of the committee of the whole. It might even be conducted in closed session, as this might diminish the element of confrontation and produce a better atmosphere for finding a consensus. In such an atmosphere, in the view of my Delegation, our aim ought to be to seek the widest measure of agreement possible.

Canadian support for Resolution 1874 at the fourth special session is evidence of our belief both in the principle of collective responsibility for United Nations peace-keeping operations and acceptance of the view that there should be some adjustment so that the burden of major peace-keeping operations would not fall so heavily on those member states whose capacity to pay is limited. We consider that it is feasible and designable that our Working Croup should in the next few weeks build on Resolution 1874 and reach agreemed on a scale which could serve as a quide for the allocation of expenses for future peace-keeping open.

Group should serve a scale which could serve a state which could serve a scale which could serve a subscribe of the serve and serve with the serve and this working Group would wish to dispute that principle doubt that any members of this working Group would wish to dispute that principle serve with the Representative of brazil that the United Nations of Seneral Assembly is responsible under the Charter for allocating among the membership the expenses for any duly sutherized peace-keeping operations excell the orse of operations approved by the Security Council, let us be clear the General Assembly's responsibility is financial. Political sutherity remains the General Assembly's responsibility is financial. Political sutherity remains the use of techniques such as the imposition of a time limit on the duration of an operation's anotate. I cannot understand, therefore,...why it should be necessary for any members of this Working Group, including permanent members of the Security Council, to oppose the principle that the United Nations General handled among the members for operations approved by the Council shall be allocated among the members for operations approved by the Council peace-keeping operations and, therefore, the view that such operations should a sample of a standard the such operations and the sense to members of the Working Group holding a samilar view would wish to demonstrate their support for this principle by a serving on a special scale of assessments for innering such operations. If we fall to come on the second of the sense of security-Council approxements as in the oase of Security-Council approxements as the percentages the principle by a serving one aspecial scale of assessments for innering such operations of the commendation for apportioning the content of the content of the content of the principle of an operation of the portioning the content of the operations will be creative as a shirty to establish and collective responsibility, and the congenization is ability to establish and

The working paper presented by the United States Delegation include an interesting suggestion that a special finance committee be established to review financing arrangements for peace-keeping operations. This is a suggestion instance, in cases where the Security Council had taken a decision to establish a peace-keeping operation to be financed by United Nations membership the special scale which we hope we shall be able to establish at the next session the United Nations General Assembly the application of the United Nations General Assembly, in cases where a peace-keeping operation that the special finance committee would have a similar responsibility for recommending financial arrangements.