

his membership in this church." So it comes to this that membership depended on being a book agent for Mrs. Eddy's *Science and Health*. It is useless to try to make it appear that the loss of membership refers only to the part of the notice that no one was to teach Christian science for a year. The punitive clause is the last one and refers to all that is contained in the notice. This must be regarded as a fair view.

10. As to her gifts all that need be said is that she extorted from her followers large sums of money for her books, her lectures, and in the form of gifts. If she gave some back to the church, it only enabled her to make more. Her whole system was of the most mercenary kind possible; and all under divine guidance—so she said.

11. Now look at the cases submitted as the culminating proof of the healing power of Christian science.

Take the case of Leah Pullmer. This woman is said to have had two operations in Winnipeg; but was healed some years ago by Christian science. The information we have been able to secure is that she made a trip to Rochester in the spring of 1916, and was operated on in the Mayo Hospital. If this is not correct we would like to be put right.

The next case is that of Mrs. James McKeown, of Cadogan, Alta. In this case some rather interesting information has come to hand. After she became a Christian scientist she undertook to do some "healing," and had a case of acute appendicitis that went bad, and a case of confinement that did not behave very well under this method. Mr. McKeown is considerably crippled, but he may be like Mary Ann Baker, a non-believer. If the Christian scientists have any new light, please send it along. Note that she was healed in one treatment. This could only occur if the trouble was some functional nervous trouble, or some ailment of a self limited nature.

Now for Nellie B. Pinkham. Our information is that Dr. C. E. Loizeaux is still living and a much respected gentleman. He made an examination of Mrs. Pinkham in the spring of 1916 and found the lump present, but not so large as it was some time ago. So it would appear that this is some sort of non-malignant growth, and has decreased in size, but not yet disappeared. This cannot be regarded as a star result, and one would think not such as to justify having been made the subject of a solemn affidavit. Quite recently there was still some "lump," and her health was good. From this it is very improbable that the tumor was ever of a cancerous nature, as stated in the declaration.

It is safe to assume that the other nine cases of cancer are of the same type as that of Mrs. Pinkham. It is nothing new for medical men to meet with cases that the people regard as cancers that are not. The full case of the Christian scientists has been published, as submit-