and all should join promiseuously in the examinations. As to pathology and diagnosis, certain text-books had been set down at the suggestion of the homœopaths; and when examiners were thus confined to the books chosen by the homœopaths themselves, why should they now object to their students being examined in them in common with ours? Especially could there be no objection when it was known that there could be no difference of opinion between the several "schools" on these subjects,—as he maintained was the case. And here Henderson of Edinburgh did good service. Dr. Clarke did not think the executive committee had acted altogether rightly towards the homœopaths.

Dr. CAMPBELL agreed with this, and thought that if Drs. Clarke and Brouse had been present, justice would have been done them.

Dr. AIKINS here wished to make an explanation of what had occurred at the executive committee meeting. At that meeting he had reminded Dr. Campbell that he and his homeopathic confrères had agreed to what he then objected to. Dr. Campbell had replied that "his homeopathic confrères were d——d sneaks and scoundrels to desert him." Dr. Aikins had then nailed him by saying that he (Dr. C.,) had agreed to these points himself.

Dr. CLARKE continued that he regretted very much that the homeopaths had acted so hastily in introducing this Bill, as all would be made right at the next meeting of the council; and if they would now wait he would summon a special meeting of that body. He then told the committee that he did not expect such an affair to come up after the social manner in which Dr. Campbell and the rest had met over his champagne, on which occasion Dr. Campbell had expressed himself as glad to be back amongst gentlemen. (Great laughter).

Dr. CAMPBELL had said "old friends" not "gentlemen." A good deal of wit followed, and members of the committee scemed to be coming round "all right" under Dr. Clarke's speech, delivered with his usual air of vehement and earnest truthfulness and integrity of purpose, which takes with the uninitiated, followed as it was by his accustomed wind-up of captivating fun.

Hon. Mr. Cameron thought that it might perhaps be well, after the promises made by Dr. Clarke, to report the Bill back, with the understanding that it should be allowed to drop. He thought, however, that it was also desirable to hear the other side; and Drs. Campbell, Hall and Adams were called upon in succession. They being of the right stamp,—not allopaths,—members of the committee did not object to their being heard.

They endeavoured to prove, (what I think we should admit), that