The annual meeting of the Board of Directors of the Osgoode Hall A. A. A. was held on Wednesday, January 6th. The officers elected were:

President—Joe McDougal.
First Vice-president—T. L. Church.

Secretary—H. A. Burbidge. Treasurer—David Mills.

Hon. President—C. H. Ritchie, Q.C.

Hon. Vice-presidents—N. W. Hoyles, Q.C., and E. D. Armour, Q.C.

A group photo of the present third year class will be taken during the coming month.

The date of the "exams" is an open question yet. Some students want them early and some desire them late. Mr. Hoyles, it is said, will likely split the difference and bring the exams on for Thursday, April 29th or Monday, May 3rd. The date will no doubt this year be a little earlier as the Law School closes on April 15th, two weeks earlier than usual.

RECENT UNITED STATES CASES AND NOTES OF CASES OF INTEREST.

HOLLEMAN v. HARWARD, ET AL.

[McIver, J.—Appeal from Superior Court, Wake County—Supreme Court of North Carolina—Filed 24TH NOVEMBER, 1896.

Personal injury—Selling drug to wife—Husband's right of action.

An action for damages will lie at the suit of a husband against a druggist who, in violation of the express orders of the husband, has sold laudanum and similar preparations to the wife, in consequence of which she has become a confirmed subject of the opium habit, resulting in the loss of her services and companionship.

The plaintiff alleges in his complaint "that his wife by reason of the use of the drug as a beverage, had become a mental and physical wreck, and almost deprived of moral sensibility, unfitted and disqualified to attend to her household duties, or the care and nurture and direction of her children; and that by the means aforesaid so fur-

nished by the defendants knowingly, wilfully and unthe lawfully. plaintiff deprived of been the society of his wife, of her services in her home, and his children have suffered from neglect and want of motherly care; that the plaintiff's family consists of his wife and six children, some of them very young, and all under age; that the plaintiff himself is dependent on his daily toil for a living, and the care of his household and children is dependent upon the services and attention of his wife; and that by the sale and use of laudanum she has become physically and mentally incapable of attending to her duties. The complaint further alleges that, but for the conduct of the defendants in selling and furnishing the plaintiff's laudanum, the plaintiff wife would have been able to have counteracted the habit, which was only forming at the time the defendants began to furnish her with the said deadly drug; and his