

And the seizure to be afterwards made shall remain in the hands of the Sheriff, subject to such orders as the Court may afterwards take respecting the same. But whenever the Owner thereof shall either pay the debt and costs or give security to answer the value of the same, and to abide the judgment of the Court, the Estate and effects so seized shall be forthwith restored.

And in the prospect that the aforementioned amendments and alterations in the Civil Jurisprudence *will suffice* with the provisions already made by the former Ordinances until experience shall direct to such improvements as the case of *all his Majesty's subjects whether Canadian or* *others may require* for the perfect establishment of their property, rights, and interests, *and for promoting their mutual affection* and the general tranquillity of the province, by the removal of every jealousy and discontent injurious to the King's government and the common welfare ;

Be it therefore enacted by the same authority, That the Act or Ordinance passed in the twenty-fifth year of his Majesty's Reign, intituled, "An Ordinance to regulate the proceedings in the Courts of Civil Judicature, and to establish trials by Juries in actions of a commercial nature, and personal wrongs to be compensated in damages,"¹ so far as the same is not altered and amended by the provisions in this Act above contained, shall continue to the day of April, which will be in the year of our Lord one thousand, seven hundred and eighty-nine, at which time this Act for making the several amendments therein aforementioned shall also expire.

Finis

EXTRACTS FROM PROCEEDINGS OF COUNCIL.²

Monday 26th March 1787.

His Honor The Lieutenant Governor, and all the other Members above.
[William Smith Esq^r. C. J., Mr. Harrison, Mr. Mabane, Mr. De Lery, Mr. Fraser, Mr. Grant, Mr. Baby, Mr. Holland, Mr. Boucherville, Mr. Findlay, Mr. Collins, Mr. Pownall, Mr. Bellestre, Col. Caldwell, Mr. De St. Ours, Mr. De Longueuil, Sir John Johnson, Dupré.]

The Chief Justice presented a Protest signed by him and the eight other Members against the Vote of the Council upon the Question of the 22nd instant for Commitment of the Bill for the better Administration of Justice, and to regulate the practice of the law.—Read the same—Ordered to be translated, and entered on the Journals.

¹ See p. 780.

² Canadian Archives, Journals of the Legislative Council, Vol. E, p. 47. See also Q 27-2, p. 645. At the session of the Legislative Council, on March 22nd, the Chief Justice moved, with reference to his bill "for the better Administration of Justice, and to regulate the practice of the Law," "That this Bill be committed to a Committee of the whole Council for Friday the 30th instant," and the motion being put it was defeated by ten to nine. Journals of the Legislative Council, Vol. E, p. 46. See also Q 27-2, p. 643. At the next meeting of the Council the Chief Justice and his supporters registered their protest.