

Mr. Trudeau: In one case there are no quotes, and it appears that it is a clear allegation. In the other case there are quotes, and the quote is that he at least suspects the RCMP or security services were involved. I do not know if the hon. leader of the New Democratic Party will try to hide behind that innuendo, because it is the kind of smear we have had from that party in the past few weeks. They do not quite accuse and they do not quite allege, but they suspect the RCMP is involved.

This is the basis on which I made my statement. Once again, if the leader of the New Democratic Party wants to withdraw his suspicion or his innuendo that the RCMP were involved, of course I will withdraw what I said; but it was based upon reported facts by reputable newspapers.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. As hon. members will know, there is no process by which we can encourage participation a second time by any member in the same question.

The hon. member for Oshawa-Whitby has raised a question of privilege. The basis of his question of privilege is that earlier today the Prime Minister made an answer which contained a false statement and misled the House. The Prime Minister has responded to that question of privilege by saying that his comment was based on some authority. If that authority is to be called into question, then surely we are into a dispute as to interpretation as to facts.

The matter has been argued on both sides. In my opinion, it is a difference of opinion and does not constitute a question of privilege.

MR. PAPROSKI—PROCEDURE USED IN CONNECTION WITH S.O. 43 MOTIONS

Mr. Stephen E. Paproski (Edmonton Centre): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a question of privilege which has to do with routine proceedings and particularly Standing Order 43 where the Speaker says, "The presentation of such a motion pursuant to Standing Order 43 can be done only with the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?"

With respect, we do have electronic media now. It is only fair that the people of Canada and the people of this House should be able to see on the monitors who the party is that says "No", and particularly on the government side. I have been accused of saying "No" to questions which have been put by my own party. I have noticed the hon. member for Outremont has said "No", and I have noticed the hon. member for Drummond has said "No". Both of those members are on the government side of the House.

With all due respect, perhaps Your Honour could indicate whether it was the government side that said "No" or whether the opposition said "No." This would clear the situation. Perhaps the cameras could focus on the particular individual who says "No", so that the people of the country will know who said it.

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Time Allocation for Bill C-11

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I am perfectly prepared to entertain the idea of identification of the member who refuses unanimous consent on any occasion, so long as it is understood that the member must be given an opportunity to take the floor and explain his reasons for withholding unanimous consent. When we do one, we ought to do the other.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

ENERGY

TABLING OF REPORT "THE MANAGEMENT OF CANADA'S NUCLEAR WASTE"

Hon. Alastair Gillespie (Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources): Mr. Speaker, I should like to table, under Standing Order 41(2), a report prepared by an independent panel of experts entitled, "The Management of Canada's Nuclear Waste", in both official languages.

* * *

REGULATIONS AND OTHER STATUTORY INSTRUMENTS

CONCURRENCE IN FIRST REPORT OF STANDING JOINT COMMITTEE

Mr. Robert McCleave (Halifax-East Hants) moved that the first report of the Standing Joint Committee on Regulations and other Statutory Instruments, presented to the House on Thursday, November 17, be concurred in.

Motion agreed to.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

TIME ALLOCATION FOR SECOND READING OF BILL C-11

Hon. Norman A. Cafik (Minister of State (Multiculturalism)): Mr. Speaker, pursuant to the notification which I gave to the House yesterday, I move:

That in relation to Bill C-11, an act to amend the statute law relating to income tax and to provide other authority for the raising of funds, five hours shall be allotted to the further consideration in second reading stage of the bill:

That, at the end of the fifth such hour, any proceeding before the House shall be interrupted, if required, for the purpose of this order and, in turn, every question then necessary in order to dispose of the second reading stage of the bill shall be put forthwith and successively, without further debate or amendment.

Mr. Speaker, addressing myself to the motion I have just made—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. The House has heard the motion moved by the hon. Minister of State for Multicultural-