

KILLED IN ELEVATOR ACCIDENT WILBER READ FELL FOUR STORIES

In the Factory of Reid Bros. & Co., on Clarence Street
—Cause of the Mishap Is a Mystery—Lad
Was Only Sixteen Years of Age.

Wilber Read, the 16-year-old son of Mr. and Mrs. Samuel Read, of Hybury Avenue, London Junction, died at 10 o'clock this morning as the result of an elevator accident which happened yesterday afternoon about half-past five at the factory of Reid Bros. & Co., on Clarence street.

Just how the accident happened will probably never be known, as the elevator was in perfect working order, apparently, and no parts of it are broken.

How It Happened.

"Wilber Read was one of the bright-est boys in the establishment," Mr. Reid said. "He was a very clever boy."

"The elevator was in perfect running order and was inspected only a week ago when we got in some heavy bales of paper."

"The safety catches are working all right, but appear to work much better when the elevator is heavily loaded."

"We feel particularly sorry over the affair, as the boy's mother worked here before her marriage, and was one of our best employees."

"Later, an older brother, Mr. Garnet Read, of Toronto, worked here and only left about a year ago, when he was killed."

"The boy's parents are very much distressed, and there has never been the slightest trouble with it before."

"Coroner Ferris, after a full investigation, has decided that no inquest is necessary."

"The boy's parents are very much distressed, and there has never been the slightest trouble with it before."

"Coroner Ferris, after a full investigation, has decided that no inquest is necessary."

"The boy's parents are very much distressed, and there has never been the slightest trouble with it before."

"Coroner Ferris, after a full investigation, has decided that no inquest is necessary."

"The boy's parents are very much distressed, and there has never been the slightest trouble with it before."

"Coroner Ferris, after a full investigation, has decided that no inquest is necessary."

"The boy's parents are very much distressed, and there has never been the slightest trouble with it before."

"Coroner Ferris, after a full investigation, has decided that no inquest is necessary."

"The boy's parents are very much distressed, and there has never been the slightest trouble with it before."

"Coroner Ferris, after a full investigation, has decided that no inquest is necessary."

"The boy's parents are very much distressed, and there has never been the slightest trouble with it before."

Convicted of Stealing From a Car Prisoners Remanded for Sentence

Grand Trunk Brings Home the
Crime To Two
Men.

After hearing the evidence of nearly twenty witnesses in the case of Joseph Lenehan and Perry Sinclair, charged with breaking into a sealed Grand Trunk car and stealing five cases of whisky, Magistrate Love found Lenehan guilty of being one of the party, inasmuch as he had endeavored to dispose of the whisky to several hotelkeepers.

Lenehan's bail was renewed in the sum of \$2,000 and he was remanded a week for sentence.

Perry Sinclair pleaded guilty to breaking into the car and was also remanded a week for sentence.

Evidence Conclusive.

This evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car.

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

"The evidence submitted was most complete, and it was shown that Sinclair was the one who broke into the car."

Cotter, who has left the city, were seen near the car from which the whisky was stolen on the night in question, burning a case and the straw wrappings from several whisky bottles.

They were drinking from a bottle of whisky.

Shortly afterwards it was discovered by the car checkers that the car had been entered, and that five cases of whisky had been stolen.

The following morning Cotter and Sinclair tried to sell two cases of whisky to the proprietor of the Britannia House.

Refused to Buy It.

He refused to buy, and young Lenehan came and took the whisky away in a cutter and tried to sell it to some other hotelmen.

Shortly afterwards Sinclair was arrested and Lenehan gave himself up.

The evidence was of a character that showed that Lenehan was not directly concerned in breaking into the car, but he had been associating with the others and drinking the stolen whisky.

FOR A MILLION OF MONEY.

Attention is called to the first installment of The Advertiser's new story, "For a Million of Money," which appears in today's paper.

The story is by Arthur W. Marchmont, one of the most popular writers of the day.

It is a breezy, absorbing story of romance and adventure, and should be read by all.

Don't miss the opening chapters.

ALLOWED GAMBLING ON THE PREMISES

William Mahon, of the Grand Central Hotel, Was Fined.

For allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises, William Mahon, of the Grand Central Hotel, was fined \$100 and costs.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

The case was heard by Magistrate Love, who found Mahon guilty of allowing gambling to be carried on on his premises.

Chicken Thieves Robbed Constable Cleaned His Roost and Invited Ruin

John Hopper and George Borland Finally Run To Earth
By County Officers.

One of the cleverest captures that has been made by the county constabulary in a long time was effected last night, when Constables Shaver and Corsaut arrested John Hopper and George Borland, an ex-member of the city police force, at the home of the former, 744 Colborne street, on charges of chicken-stealing.

Pleaded Guilty.

When brought before Squire Chittick last night the pair pleaded guilty.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

to wholesale chicken-stealing, and were remanded until Wednesday next for sentence.

The operations of the men have extended over months, and their field of labor comprised almost every township in this vicinity.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

The same night a neighbor of Mr. Hopper was robbed of a large quantity of chickens.

Equipped with a sleigh and a large coop, the men would drive out in the country and clean up everything in sight in the poultry line.

Complaints have been numerous, but it was not until a few nights ago, when the bold thieves paid a visit to Constable Corsaut's own chicken-roost, that a clue was gained as to the thieves.

Judgment Against the G. T. R. Mrs. John Lamond Succeeds in Suit

Case Was Decided Friday in
the Trial Court at
Toronto.

The trial court at Toronto has decided in favor of Mrs. John Lamond in her suit against the Grand Trunk Railway Company.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found the railway company liable for the death of Mrs. Lamond's husband.

The case was heard by Judge G. H. Watson, who found