Order Paper Questions

witness entitlements provided for by provincial laws or regulations. Payments made in this program pertain only to relocation and cost of living expenses for a witness and family should the life of the witness of his/her family become endangered as a result of co-operation with the Crown or agreement to testify. The payment of moneys or benefits are not authorized to any person simply in return for their testimony.

2. Yes, there is RCMP policy concerning payments to informers. This policy deals with the following: (a) the amount of payments: These payments are authorized at varying levels of authority within the RCMP in accordance with Treasury Board directives. (b) the criteria for payments: In each case the criteria for payment is established by the levels of authority responsible for authorizing payment. They must be satisfied that the expenses are fair and just in relation to the assistance rendered or information received. (c) the selection of recipients: Not all informants or witnesses receive payments. Payments occur only in those circumstances which dictate the need.

Force practice requires, without exception, that where a person was paid for information germane to proceedings at which he will be a witness, full disclosure of all details will be made to the Court.

In Security Service matters, it is not in the interests of maintaining internal security to make public policy concerning payments to informers.

3. No.

CNR—ADVERTISING BUDGET

Question No. 4,488—Mr. Siddon:

- 1. What amount did the Canadian National Railways spend for advertising in the fiscal year (a) 1980-81 (b) 1981-82?
- 2. What amount did CNR budget for advertising in the fiscal year 1982-83?
- 3. What percentage of CNR's fiscal year 1982-83 advertising will be devoted to (a) newspapers and magazines (b) radio (c) television (d) trade show or convention exhibits (e) any other?
- 4. Did CNR declare a deficit in the fiscal year (a) 1980-81 (b) 1981-82 and, if so, what was the extent of that deficit?
- Mr. Jesse P. Flis (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): The management of Canadian National Railways advises as follows: As Canadian National's fiscal year coincides with the calendar year, references to years 1980-81, 1981-82, and 1982-83 have been altered in the relies to read 1980, 1981, and 1982 respectively.
 - 1. (a) \$9,601,000, (b) \$11,351,000.
 - 2. \$10,170,000.
- 3. (a) 36 per cent, (b) 10 per cent, (c) 37 per cent, (d) 2.3 per cent, (e) 14.7 per cent.
 - 4. (a) No, (b) no.

RESTAURANT AT VICTORIA INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT

Question No. 4,501—Mr. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich):

- 1. On what date was the tender let to improve the restaurant facilities at the Victoria International Airport?
 - 2. How many entered bids and which company was the successful bidder?

- 3. (a) What dates were given in the tender for the beginning and the completion of the work (b) was this undertaking honoured?
- 4. (a) For what amount was the contract (b) what provisions were made for cost or time over-runs (c) were there cost or time over-runs?
 - 5. On what date will the restaurant be completed and fully operational?
- Mr. Jesse P. Flis (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): 1. Tender advertising commenced January 22, 1982 with tender close on February 10, 1982.
- 2. Six companies entered bids and the contract was awarded to the low bidder, Wakefield Construction Ltd. of Victoria on February 17, 1982.
- 3. (a) The tender documents stipulated the work was to commence within seven days of award of contract (i.e., by February 24, 1982) and that work was to be completed within 130 calendar days from award of contract (i.e., by June 29, 1982). (b) Contractor was on site February 22, 1982 but work was not completed by June 29, 1982 because of delays in delivery of material and rescheduling of construction work to minimize interference with ongoing restaurant operations. This delay was compounded by the construction industry lockout during the month of August.
- 4. (a) \$215,500. (b) No special provisions other than the principles contained in the General Conditions of Contract were applied to this project. The General Conditions of Contract provided for and outlined procedures for assessing, granting and penalizing cost and time over-runs on contract work. (c) There was an over-run of \$21,200 on the cost of the contract resulting from designer/site supervisor requested design changes required to rectify conditions encountered during the excavation and construction phases. There was a time over-run because the contractor incurred delays in excess of contract expectations while accommodating the smooth ongoing operation of the restaurant facility during the construction period.
- 5. Except for minor deficiencies, the above-referenced contract work on the Victoria Restaurant Facilities is complete and the restaurant is and always has been in full operation. The outstanding work still to be done to complete the contract is related to the installation of an electrical transformer. Manufacture and delivery of this transformer is taking longer than anticipated and the completion of the outstanding work is now expected by mid-December, 1982.

PERCENTAGE ANGLOPHONE/FRANCOPHONE MILITARY PERSONNEL

Question No. 4,548—Mr. McKinnon:

At present, what proportion in each rank of (a) the Canadian Armed Forces (b) Maritime Command (c) Mobile Command (d) Air Command (e) personnel not allocated to Maritime Command, Mobile Command or Air Command is (i) Anglophone (ii) Francophone?

Hon. J. Gilles Lamontagne (Minister of National Defence):