

Division

we are perhaps too slow in settling it. But until experts settle it, it is our duty, I think, to give all Canadians the opportunity to profit by these acts whose purpose is to improve the health of all Canadians.

I do not think that we need to repeat here all the demagogic speeches delivered in some assemblies, which can achieve popular success in certain circumstances but which have less results at the polls than certain colleagues think.

The member for Villeneuve—I see him in the lobby, because I would have kept my remarks for myself otherwise—said that he visited the riding of Terrebonne, or someone said that he went—perhaps he did not say it himself—and the minister, since then, has seen his majority increase every year. I had a similar experience. Mind you, I tease him, there is no malice in what I say, Mr. Speaker, because if I did not respect the member for Villeneuve, I would never speak of him. I never speak of trivial things. Because I respect him to a certain point, I think he has a praiseworthy tenacity and that he is sincere, even if sometimes he uses means that I cannot approve.

But I repeat what I said a moment ago. He had the opportunity to visit my riding, and then the first time, the Cr ditiste took 4,000 votes or something like that, the second time he took 2,000, next time—he will come two or three times—there will probably remain 300 only then. But, I shall continue, as long as I sit here as an Independent, to vote with the hon. member for Villeneuve every time he appears to me as following a sound line of thought.

I believe his decision of tonight to vote in favour of the amendment is sound; everything he said before that was not bright; that probably discouraged a few of his colleagues to vote the same way.

Therefore, I shall vote in favour of the amendment, Mr. Speaker, as I shall support the bill itself, even if I do not find it perfect, even if I wish, like all the others, that the provinces would have their own sources of revenues, as well as the municipalities, to enable them to carry out their responsibilities. But I say that while we are waiting for the experts to settle those problems, we must accomplish something, and I believe that is what the people of Canada are expecting from us. I shall, therefore, vote for the amendment.

[English]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the house ready for the question?

Some hon. Members: Question.

The house divided on the amendment (Mr. Allard) which was negated on the following division:

• (9:30 p.m.)

YEAS

Messrs:

Alkenbrack	Jorgenson
Allard	Keays
Bell (Saint John-Albert)	Kennedy
Bower	Korchinski
Brand	Lambert
Cadieu (Meadow Lake)	Laprise
Cantelon	Latulippe
Caouette	MacEwan
Chatterton	McIntosh
Churchill	McLelland
Clancy	McQuaid
Coates	Madill
Crouse	Mongrain
Danforth	Muir (Cape Breton North and Victoria)
Dinsdale	Nielsen
Dionne	Nowlan
Fane	Nugent
Flemming	Olson
Forbes	Ormiston
Forrestall	Pascoe
Gauthier	Pugh
Godin	Rapp
Gr�goire	Simard
Grills	Simpson
Hales	Simpson
Harkness	Smallwood
Horne (Acadia)	Southam
Howe (Wellington- Huron)	Winkler
	Woolliams—56.

NAYS

Messrs:

Allmand	Davis
Andras	Deachman
Asselin	Douglas
(Richmond-Wolfe)	Dub�
Badanal	�mard
Barnett	�thier
Batten	Faulkner
B�chard	Fawcett
Bell (Carleton)	Forest
Benson	Gilbert
Berger	Gray
Blouin	Greene
Byrne	Guay
Cadieux (Terrebonne)	Habel
Cameron (High Park)	Haidasz
Cameron (Nanaimo- Cowichan-The Islands)	Harley
Cashin	Honey
Chatwood	Howard
Comtois	Hymmen
C�t� (Longueuil)	Isabelle
Cowan	Jamieson
Crossman	Johnston
	Klein