

THIS ISSUE OVER EAST COAST BOUNDARIES TO THE  
 INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE IN THE HAGUE IS A  
 FORM OF INTERMEDIATION. SO IS REFERRAL TO THE  
 BATT OF DIFFERENCES OVER SOME ASPECTS OF THE  
 ADMINISTRATION OF OUR FOREIGN INVESTMENT POLICY.  
 PERHAPS WE CAN CONCLUDE THAT THERE IS A GROWING  
 READINESS TO SEE DISPUTES TAKEN TO INTERNATIONAL  
 FORA FOR RESOLUTION; BUT A RELUCTANCE TO USE INTER-  
 MEDIATION OTHERWISE, IN VIEW OF THE GROWING SENSE  
 OF POLITICAL INTERESTS BEING ENGAGED ON RESPECTIVE  
 SIDES OF THE ISSUES.

Rule 3: No linkage.

WELL, CONGRESS LINKS OR IS TRYING TO LINK  
 ALL THE TIME. I THINK THAT WHILE THERE IS NO  
 DISPOSITION ON THE PART OF THE RESPECTIVE GOVERNMENTS  
 TO BEGIN TO DEVELOP TRADE-OFFS BETWEEN ADVANTAGES  
 GAINED ON ONE SET OF ISSUES AGAINST DISADVANTAGES ON  
 ANOTHER, SUCH AS BORDER BROADCASTING, OR A CONVENTION  
 TAX, THERE IS A GROWING SENSE OF EACH SIDE MAINTAINING  
 AN INVENTORY OF RESPECTIVE POSITIONS OF RELEVANCE TO  
 THE OVERALL RELATIONSHIP.