

EVENTS

Published Weekly.

13
Vol. 4, No. 4.

OTTAWA, JULY 23, 1904.

Whole No. 279.

Dundonald's Latest Offence.

FIRST Lord Dundonald was guilty of a breach of the very discipline he was paid to maintain; in Toronto on July 15 he was guilty of a breach of faith. He broke faith with the committee in charge of the demonstration and placed the committee in the dilemma of deception. There were hundreds of Liberals who joined in the meeting at Massey Hall on the strength of a public statement that there were no political references in the address. Among those on the platform was the chief editor of the Globe who says that there were Liberals on the platform whose presence was specially requested by the committee and to whom assurances were given personally by members of the committee that controversial political questions were forbidden alike by the intention of the committee and the purpose of the gathering.

What happened?

In his address carefully written out previous to the gathering Lord Dundonald wantonly assailed the government and made an appeal to the people to avenge his dismissal by condemning the government.

The committee had communicated the text of the address to be presented to the General which made it clear that the demonstration was planned in his honor as a British officer and distinguished soldier. The Globe published an editorial days before repeating the assurances of the committee that there would be nothing in the proceedings to preclude Liberals endorsing them by their presence. In the face of all this Lord Dundonald deliberately broke faith with the committee, and betrayed the confidence of the Liberals, which confidence, the Globe says, was "completely misplaced." That paper adds that the incident proves that if Lord Dundonald is an able soldier "he lacks utterly those other qualities which are absolutely necessary in the administration of the important office that he held."

Let us examine a few of Lord Dundonald's statements. He used strong language yet complained that strong language had been used by a newspaper against him. All right thinking and all loyal peoples shared his views, he claimed. This raises two questions. "What right has an officer in the