ALS, CORRESPONDENCE, &C.

ted and read the following onto.

Toronto Synod.)

ssion.

riday, 10th August, 1866.

econded by Rev. J. Carry, pular and synodical action, ment of the prerogatives of o unhealthy influence, comng to the statement of the orth in the despatches laid year 1862,) the Bishops in withdrawing the licenses of is Synod shall by memorial take such steps and enact uture determine the status e, and that a Committee be purpose of giving effect to he next annual meeting of

llowing Committee on the

ost, Dr. Fuller, Dr. Bovell, Duggan.

dnesday, 10th June, 1868. ted the following Memorial

stical Law, and gave notice ion at the proper time: last session of the Diocesan to the Provincial Synod, on " beg to report to the Synod, ng draft of a Memorial, to be to the Most Reverend the everend the Bishops of the stical Province of Canada, in

other "to the Clerical and

Lower House of the Synod

Canada, in Synod assembled."

The Memorial of the Bishop, Clergy, and Laity of the Diocese of Toronto, in Synod assembled, humbly sheweth :-

I. That in consequence of the position in which the Church in this Province is providentially placed, she is not subject to the code of Ecclesiastical Law, which prevails in the Mother Church, while she has not yet reached the condition

of the Church in the United States of America, with its fully developed system of Canonical enactment.

II. That as regards those who rule, there is, in these days of Synodical action, a tendency on the part of the legislative body of the Church to infringe on the powers and prerogatives of the Executive. That if, moreover, for want of definite laws by which to regulate his action, a Bishop makes some false step which leads to conflict with those under his jurisdiction, and that conflict issues either in defeat of himself, or in the production of a well grounded feeling of injustice on the part of others, the inevitable tendency is to weaken that legitimate and divine authority which he ought to wield, and for which we are bound to challenge, on his behalf, a reverent and manly submission. That it would therefore appear to your Memorialists, that one of the best ways of defending the powers which God has given to the Episcopate, would be to define, as clearly as possible, the laws by which

they should be regulated.

III. That while danger arises to those who rule, from the want of definite law, the same cause is productive of peril to those who are governed, especially to the Clergy. That power, in order to be cheerfully and loyally obeyed, must be legitimately exercised; and any, even unconscious, assumption of authority which can be justly or reasonably questioned, will inevitably lead, in the present temper of men's minds, to contention and resistance. That the Bishops are the administrative executive officers of the Church, are bound as stringently as any of the other clergy to conform themselves and their acts to her recognized laws. That instances can be easily pointed out, where Bishops have either neglected, misunderstood, or overridden those existing laws which they were bound to administer, which has led, (and ought ever to lead), to resistance, prompted by loyalty to the Church, and love and reverence to Him who is the Church's Head and Lord. That the evils of such contentions, although they may be carried on in a christian spirit, are of the most serious character, that everything should be done, that can be rightly done, in order to avoid them, and that your Memorialists are convinced that a clear and explicit setting forth of those laws