it should, he thinks it necessary to answer all the above infinuations and affertions, from whatever quarter they may come, in the following manner:

That Sir H. Clinton had iffued orders of fimilar effect to those of Lord Cornwallis, of Dec. 23, 1780, as far as respects the Commissary General's department, and the delivering captured provisions to the troops gratis, and faving the Crown rations to the Public in 1776 and 1777, even before he commanded the army: that in July 1779, he appointed Commissioners of Captures (totally diffinet from the Commiffary General's department) and for the above purposes; that it had been reported to Sir H. Clinton, by the Deputy Commissary General, that near a million of rations had been faved to the Public while he remained in South Carolina, and his orders were attended to; during which time Lord Cornwallis was under his immediate orders, and Mr. Stedman was acting as Deputy Commissary of captured forage, by Sir H. Clinton's orders of February 1780. Sir H. Clinton perfectly agrees with Lord Cornwallis, the Commissioners of Public Accounts, and Messis. Stedmen and Ramfay, that infamous abuse and peculation might have existed, but takes leave again to affert, that he iffued orders, foon after he came to the command, most effectually to prevent it; nor could it well have existed to the northward, at that time, for to enable Lord Cornwallis to act offenfively, Sir H. Clinton had reduced the army under his immediate orders to a ftrict defensive. There needs no other proof when and where this peculation was effectually stopped, than the following letter from Mr. Robinson, written by order of the Lords of the Treafury, approving Sir H. Clinton's having effablished Commissaries of Captures for the purpose of supplying the army gratis, and faving the Crown rations to the Public.