422-134	Nipawin School District No. 61	7,500
422-162	Kinistino Union Hospital	10,413

(c) Constituency of Saskatoon-Biggar

Project		Federal Contribution
421-2	Western Development Museum	95,311
421-28	Saskatoon Family Service Bureau	30,000
421-72	Saskatoon Antique Auto Club	17,550
421-184	Big Bear	14,016
421-221	Metis Housing Group	35,568
421-262	Saskatoon YMCA	7,722
421-268	Saskatoon Labour Building Asso-	
	ciation	41,184
422-44	St. Paul's Roman Catholic School	
	District No. 20	16,731
422-58	Board of Education	48,087
422-65	City of Saskatoon	10,062
422-66	City of Saskatoon	32,946
422-67	City of Saskatoon	25,272
422-132	Town of Waldheim	16,614

PROJECTS APPROVED UNDER LOCAL INITIATIVES **PROGRAM**

Question No. 243—Mr. Diefenbaker:

How many projects as of this date have been approved under the Local Initiatives Program, and in what total amounts in (a) Saskatchewan (b) Alberta (c) Manitoba?

Mr. Ray Perrault (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Manpower and Immigration): The following number of projects has been approved in the total amount indicated as at March 1, 1972: (a) in Saskatchewan, 172 projects-\$4,187,905 (b) in Alberta, 304 projects—\$7,883,315 (c) in Manitoba, 200 projects-\$5,478,616.

MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, notice of motion for the production of papers No. 32 is acceptable to the government.

COPY OF REPORT BY CANADIAN FACTS COMPANY LIMITED ON PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY FOR DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR, 1968-69

Motion No. 32-Mr. Skoberg:

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the consultant report by Canadian Facts Company Limited, on a public opinion survey undertaken for the Department of Labour in the fiscal year 1968-69, as mentioned in answer to Question Number 1,323 of the 1st Session of this Parliament.

Motion agreed to.

Inquiries of the Ministry

Mr. Jerome: Mr. Speaker, I ask that the remaining notices of motions be allowed to stand.

Mr. Skoberg: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order with regard to notice of motion No. 35. It concerns the CNR pension plan and correspondence between the Minister of Transport (Mr. Jamieson) and CNR officials. Could I have some indication whether this motion will be answered at an early date?

Mr. Jerome: Mr. Speaker, we will look into that question. Of course, that entire subject is before the House at the present time in the CNR financing and guarantee measure.

• (1420)

ORAL QUESTION PERIOD

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

FORTHCOMING VISIT BY PRESIDENT NIXON TO CANADA—INQUIRY AS TO PRIOR RESUMPTION OF TRADE TALKS

Hon. Robert L. Stanfield (Leader of the Opposition): Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Acting Prime Minister. Now that the dates have been fixed for the visit to Canada by President Nixon, I should like to ask whether dates have also been fixed for the resumption of meetings between ministers and officials of our two countries with regard to trade? Have dates been set for such meetings to take place prior to President Nixon's visit to Canada?

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (Acting Prime Minister): I do not think those dates have yet been fixed. There have been some conversations involving my colleague the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce, in which United States representatives were discussing the resumption of these talks, but I do not think a date has yet been fixed.

FORTHCOMING VISIT BY PRESIDENT NIXON TO CANADA—INQUIRY AS TO PRIOR ANNOUNCEMENT OF GOVERNMENT POLICY ON FOREIGN INVESTMENT

Hon. Robert L. Stanfield (Leader of the Opposition): Will the government announce its policy with regard to foreign investment in Canada prior to President Nixon's visit to our country? I ask this question in view of the breakthrough with regard to understanding that the Prime Minister announced he had achieved during his last visit to Washington.

Hon. Mitchell Sharp (Acting Prime Minister): I do not think the visit of the President is relevant to this question one way or another. As the hon, gentleman knows, we have been working on the application of principles upon which we have already agreed. This, and the preparation of the background material, is the only reason why an announcement has not been made. It has nothing to do with the attitude of the United States government, which has not expressed a view on this subject.