

the Tariff Board Act—a short amendment—which will not give my hon. friend from Weyburn (Mr. Young) any work. There is the Coastal Shipping Act, which is now in the Senate and which will be brought down to this chamber, and there is also an amendment to the Insurance Act, in connection with certain investments, which by accident was left out of the bill last year. That Senator Beaubien has in the Senate and it will be submitted to this chamber in due course. I think there are some other private bills in the Senate, but apart from what I have mentioned there is no new legislation contemplated. The Soldier Settlement bill is on the order paper. The redistribution schedules will be completed as early as possible, and if unfortunately agreement cannot be arrived at in the committee, the committee will report the bill to the house and we shall have to endeavour to arrive at some conclusions with respect to legislation.

I may say that I have placed on the order paper for Monday next a notice suggesting that the house meet on Tuesday at eleven o'clock, but that is entirely in the hands of the house; whatever the house may desire in that regard, we shall be glad to accommodate our views thereto. It is also contemplated that the house shall sit on Wednesday evening next.

Mr. CASGRAIN: Is it the intention to bring in some amendments to the Shipping Act with respect to coastal trade?

Mr. BENNETT: That is what I mentioned. That bill is now in the Senate.

EXCHEQUER COURT ACT

On the orders of the day:

Mr. LAPOINTE: Does the Prime Minister intend to proceed with Bill No. 26, to amend the Exchequer Court Act? The reason I ask that question is that the Minister of Justice, before leaving, had intimated to me that it was not the intention to proceed with that bill.

Right Hon. R. B. BENNETT (Prime Minister): The Minister of Justice gave me to understand that he had indicated that it was not thought desirable to proceed with the Admiralty Court bill. I spoke to my colleague to-day and asked him to call the bill for committee for the purpose of hearing what observations the former Minister of Justice had to make on the matter, and we would then determine what action should be

[Mr. Bennett.]

taken. If the Minister of Justice indicated that we would not proceed with it, we will certainly carry out the undertaking he gave.

COAL INQUIRY

On the orders of the day:

Mr. F. G. SANDERSON (South Perth): I have a question to ask the Minister of Labour with regard to the report of the registrar appointed under the Combines Investigation Act with respect to the inquiry into importation and distribution of British anthracite coal. Yesterday the minister made some remark touching this question, and according to Hansard he said that the report was not to be made public; yet I find in yesterday's press, in the Toronto Globe of yesterday—

Mr. SPEAKER: Will the hon. member proceed with his question?

Mr. SANDERSON: I am about to state it.

Mr. SPEAKER: The hon. member must not read from newspapers.

Mr. SANDERSON: I have not read anything, but in the Toronto Globe, in great headlines, it is reported that importers—

Mr. SPEAKER: Order.

Mr. SANDERSON: —are using the duty to overcharge users of British fuel, and then it mentions an hon. member of the other house, the salary he has been getting—

Some hon. MEMBERS: Question.

Mr. SPEAKER: To ask a question it is not necessary to retail to the house what appears in newspapers.

Mr. SANDERSON: I must frame my question, Mr. Speaker. With all due respect to you, sir, I submit that if you will give me a moment I will put the question. My question is this: If the press of Canada can get part of the details of the registrar's report, is not that report to be had by members of parliament? If it is to be semi-public we might as well make it public. I would ask the Minister of Labour whether the members of this house have a right to see the report.

Hon. W. A. GORDON (Minister of Labour): I do not think I can usefully add anything to what I said yesterday. I understand that there were comments in the press with respect to what was thought to be in the registrar's