

# POOR DOCUMENT

## THE WEEKLY HERALD

CHARLES H. LUGRIN, EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR.  
FREDERICTON, N. B., JULY 20, 1882.

### TIMBER LICENCES.

The Government, with consummate indifference to the requirements of the trade, has not yet determined upon the rate and terms upon which licences to cut lumber are to be granted this year. The members of the Executive are more concerned as to how they shall save their own bacon than they are about attending to the business of the country. Probably the enormous amount of energy which they require to devise schemes to prevent their overwhelming defeat exhausts them, and they have no strength left to discharge those duties which are supposed to be attached to their position. Yet there will be a good deal of money needed, particularly if all the promises made to doubting Thomases are to be redeemed. We have heard of one large claim, which has been for some years in abeyance, but is now to be settled as the price of one member's allegiance; and from day to day come rumors of heavy expenditures promised, which will swamp the revenue of the country. Under these circumstances it will be necessary to make the income as large as possible, or rather it would be, if there was the slightest possibility that the Westmoreland Combination would have the expending of it.

But referring more particularly to the subject of timber licences it is certainly about time that something was done in reference to them. Operators do not know what to expect. Rumors of important changes are in the air. It is said by some that serious differences exist in the Council as to what system should be adopted. This may or may not be true. There is one thing certain, and that is, that by no possible means can regulations be made and published so as to reach the country until after the first of August, or more than a month after the expiry of last year's licences. This may be the correct way of doing public business; but we doubt it.

### Mr. Burwash Explains.

Rev. Mr. Burwash sends us a note which we publish below. We admire the charity with which he attempts to defend Prof. Weldon's assertion that no one had his warrant to give any assurance to the Conference. We will not now raise any question as to the value of any assurance given by a gentleman who draws such fine distinctions as Dr. Weldon does; but will simply add to what we have already said upon this subject, that now public attention has been called to it some action should be taken at the earliest possible day.

SACKVILLE, July 17th 1882.

To the Editor of the Herald:  
Sir,—I notice in your issue of the 15th inst. a sentence which implies that there is a "contradiction" between a statement I made in Conference and a letter of Dr. Weldon's which you publish. There is no such "contradiction." The statement I made in Conference was strictly true; so, also, is that in Dr. Weldon's letter that "no one had my warrant to give any assurance to the Conference, etc." Dr. Weldon, unassisted, made to me the statement I quoted in Conference. It had no official character whatever; it was merely the expression of his intentions—"unofficial," unauthorized, and inasmuch as I deemed a useless and a very undesirable discussion, I repeated in Conference what Dr. Weldon had said. As I had received no intimation from Dr. W. that our conversation was strictly private, I thought the circumstances justified my doing so. I now regret my action as Dr. Weldon, whatever may have been his intentions at the time of our conversation, does not wish to give to the public any intimation as to his future conduct in reference to politics.  
Yours truly,  
J. BURWASH.

### REMOVING THE REPRESENTATIVES.

If we understand the discussion which is going on in the St. John papers, Mr. Colter, in administering the Public Works Department in St. John County, appears to ignore the members from that county, the reason being, we presume, that they are in opposition to the Government. If Mr. Colter is doing this, he is only putting in practice the lesson he learned during the four years in which he was a humble follower of Mr. Fraser; but against such a course it is the duty of every person, who has any respect for the constitutional rights of the people, to raise their loudest protest. In taking the position that the members from a county should be consulted whatever their political opinion may be, with reference to expenditures within their constituency, the Opposition press stand upon ground which cannot be shaken; and in view of the fact that in a few months the party, whose opinions these journals represent, will be in power, it is satisfactory to know that such opinions are entertained by the majority of the people's representatives. The doctrine that a member of the Assembly, and the people whom he represents, have no rights unless he supports the Government, is simply monstrous, yet it has been propounded on the hustings and practiced by the public departments. Indeed, we are safe in saying that but for its application to York County the present Chief Commissioner of Public Works would not have been able to secure his re-election.

### A Serious Matter.

The evidence which has been developed in the cases lately before Mr. Marsh and Mr. Anderson, and has been published in detail in the HERALD is calculated to arrest public attention. The starting point was the evidence given by Henry McDonald against Patrick Bradley and William Russell for selling liquor against the Canada Temperance Act. Bradley and Russell have since prosecuted McDonald for perjury. In the Bradley prosecution there is simply one state of facts sworn to by McDonald and another by Bradley. There is some discrepancy between the two, but it is not important as bearing upon the oath of either of the parties. In Russell's case, however, we have some very serious circumstances. In this case McDonald swore that he was accompanied by one Thompson when he went for the liquor. Thompson, however, appeared before Mr. Anderson, and swore that on the day in question and for three days after he was at Fredericton Junction; but in the trial before the Police Magistrate two witnesses swore that Thompson was in the city on the day in question and the two following days. They also swear that Thompson was absent. Before Mr. Anderson, Lynch, the Boarding-house keeper, swore that McDonald asked him to get some money from Russell, and promised to go away if he did. He contended that he had offered McDonald anything to leave town. On the other hand two witnesses have sworn before the Police Magistrate that Lynch did offer McDonald money to leave the city. It is very clear that there is gross perjury somewhere. Perhaps very few of our citizens have any idea that such a state of things was possible in this community; but the facts are there to speak for themselves.

### ELECTION PETITIONS.

The number of election petitions this year is unusually large. From present appearances it seems as though a majority of the members of the Legislature would be proceeded against. This is a most astonishing condition of things. Among those whose seats are contested are the Premier of the Province, the Provincial Secretary, the Attorney General, and at least one other member of the Government. The position in which the Attorney General stands is somewhat novel, and a review of his brief record is not very edifying. A gentleman entirely without political experience, or the kind of legal knowledge which the chief law officer of the Crown should have, he was chosen Attorney General of the Province by an administration which was at its wits' end for somebody to take the position. At the general election he was unable to carry a single supporter with him, only escaping defeat himself by a resort to means which render his seat untenable. When a petition is filed against him for corrupt practices his agents immediately file petitions against all the members from the County of St. John, and his colleagues from the city, which petitions are in his own name. He appears as the petitioner in five cases—a somewhat unusual position for the Attorney General of the Province. The seats which are now contested are—in St. John, the whole six members; in Westmoreland, the four members; in Kent, the two members; in Madawaska, the only representative. A petition is also ready to be filed against the Albert members, and we have heard of one or two others.

### LOST, STOLEN OR STRAYED.

The New Brunswick Government, when last seen, was running around the streets of Fredericton apparently looking for something it could not find. There is not a member of the Executive in town, and save a flying visit from Mr. Colter to the city, none of them have been here for some time. It is a subject for congratulation that the clerks in the public departments are able either to do the business of the country without the assistance of the chiefs, or else to invent excuses for the delays which the non-attendance of those gentlemen necessitates. We suggest that the Government, if it can find itself, should hand over everything to the officers in their departments, and content itself with staying at home and drawing the salaries. There would be a prospect of the business of the country being attended to with promptness and that less blundering would be made. Since the above was in type Mr. Landry arrived in town.

The *Farmer* says that Mr. Inches never denied his responsibility for the attack on Mr. Crockett. This is exactly in accordance with what the HERALD said at the outset. The matter stands this way. We stated that Mr. Inches was responsible for the attack. This the *Farmer* in effect denied. Our reply was that we had the authority of a gentleman, who had just come from Mr. Inches' office, for what we said. The *Farmer* now tells us that Mr. Inches never denied his responsibility. Then will the *Farmer* be good enough to tell us what it is making all the fuss about. If Mr. Inches is responsible and admits his responsibility, then what the HERALD said is entirely confirmed. No one cares who wrote the article, and we never had the least effort to convey the impression that Mr. Inches had done so.

### THAT SNUBBING.

It seems to be taken for granted that the Canadian Parliament received a pretty severe snubbing from the Imperial authorities on the Irish resolutions; but as the substance of the despatch on the subject is kept locked in the breast of the ministry we can only guess at its contents. The conduct of the Canadian authorities is said to meet with the "emphatic disapproval" of the home government. Really we are in a sad state in this Canada of ours. All Liberals are, according to the Tories, rebels and haters of British connection; and now we find that the Tories themselves, who take all the credit of the Irish resolutions, have merited the "emphatic disapproval" of some Downing street official, who for the present can pen his letters on official paper. It is very sad indeed. You may search through Canada and you will find no one loyal, no one able; at which awful fact we stand appalled. Nevertheless the crops will continue to ripen and the spruce log market will remain much the same.

### WAR IN EGYPT.

It is reported that a holy war has been proclaimed at Cairo. Massacres of Europeans continue to be reported from various sections of the country, and a formidable outbreak at Cairo is expected. About 6,000 British troops are now at Alexandria and the numbers will be increased by two regiments. The Bedouins are massed in large numbers outside the city, and are throwing up earth-works, being evidently determined upon making a determined stand.

The Khedive has determined to dismiss Arabi from the office of Minister of War; but the difficulty seems to be that Arabi will not be dismissed.

The *Farmer* is neither to be sneered, laughed or scolded out of his opinion in regard to the proper division of parties in the Assembly. It proposes to make a fresh start, and disregarding the past, start out on new lines for the future. This would not be a very difficult task for the party which our contemporary represents, which has been on every side of every political question. But seriously it is absurd to attempt to coerce every man who calls himself Liberal-Conservative into favoring the Legislative Council, or every Liberal into opposing it. We take this as a sample issue in local politics, but there are others which would prove equally well the fallacy of the *Farmer's* position.

The resignation of John Bright does not excite much comment. He stood in Parliament that he had nothing to say. The sole reason for his resignation was that he could not concur in the Government's Egyptian policy. If he had sooner retired it was because of his high regard for Mr. Gladstone and his other colleagues. Mr. Gladstone spoke briefly and highly of Mr. Bright. He agreed with his principles, but not with his application of them.

Mr. Kelly's seat in the Council.—The *World* appears to think it can settle the question about Mr. Kelly's seat in the Legislative Council, by saying that he has gone to reside in Ontario. True the law says that the seat becomes vacant when the Legislative Council ceases to reside in the Province; but the same law also says that the Legislative Council alone is to decide when a vacancy has taken place for such a reason.

The Reporter has discovered a boom in Fredericton, but thinks it would surprise some people by stating that the N. P. "was even directly responsible for this condition of things." We fancy such a statement from our contemporary would excite very little surprise; but if anybody believed it, the result would be universal and overwhelming astonishment.

The Province of Quebec is making a new loan of \$1,500,000, and the other day it sold its Provincial Railway; but it has a Conservative Government.

The Toronto Mail wants its contemporaries to give politics a rest. What new mischief can the Tories be planning?

### NOTES AND NOTICES.

The house-fires are fleeing from Ontario. Probably they dread a further advance in the tariff on sugar.

The *Sun* is worried over the proposed protest against Prof. Foster in King's County. It is time the luminary "authoritatively arranged and announced" something new.

The "Stirling Castle," a new steel steamship, which made twenty-four miles an hour on her trial trip, has made the passage from China in twenty-nine days, being 375 miles a day.

Our contemporary the *Free Press* has a libel suit in prospect at the hands of the proprietors of the Beaver Line of steamers for alleged slanderous statements in reference to the steamer "Lake Nepigon." The *Free Press* claims it published the objectionable statements merely as a matter of news, and denies that this constitutes a libel.

It would be a graceful act for the Dominion authorities to send the "Charibols" to guard the Suez canal. She never would get back again.

### Preliminary Examination.

The examination of Henry McDonald, charged with perjury, was resumed Saturday afternoon.

John L. Marsh, re-called. He identified the Wright complaint, which was put in evidence, subject to objection; a summons was issued on the complaint. The summons produced the evidence, subject to objection. Bradley appeared by Counsel; I took down the testimony given; Henry McDonald appeared as a witness; I think the prisoner was the man; he was sworn and gave testimony; on that occasion McDonald swore he was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased a pint of gin each time; he said there were two men there whose names he did not know; he went to the third time and was acquainted with defendant, Patrick Bradley; that he does business on Regent St. in this city; and that he was in his place of business yesterday, meaning the 7th of July; that he did not know Bradley; the first and second time he did not see Bradley there; but there was a man from whom he purchased