

who can solemnly say—"I have not, nor has any one of my relations suffered from the influence of the Prisoner at the Bar."

Gentlemen, I now trust I have proved, to your satisfaction, the guilt of the Prisoner, and, in conclusion, I would beg to add, that if such felons as the Prisoner are allowed to escape, then farewell industry, farewell peace, farewell Christianity, and everything noble and glorious, and free. Rapine and murder will stalk through our land—our army will become contaminated with the pestilential vapor of treason and insubordination—our navy a band of dangerous pirates. Every effort to evangelize the world will be lost. We will become an easy prey to our enemies. Ichabod will be written in characters of blood on the face of our country, and we will soon become extinct among the nations.

### CHIEF JUSTICE'S CHARGE.

Gentlemen of the Jury,—On a lengthy indictment containing eight counts, the prisoner is arraigned for combination and conspiracy—bringing into contempt Religion and all moral and social order by inducing men to neglect their domestic duties, and to commit breaches of the Sabbath and of the public peace—robbing communities, families, and individuals, of wealth and happiness, and leaving them in poverty and wretchedness—setting at naught the law of the land by instigating men to commit robberies, murders, suicides, and various other felonies, as by the indictment more fully appears.

Gentlemen, it is hardly necessary for me to caution you, a respectable intelligent Jury, to divest your minds of all prejudice as to the question of the guilt or innocence of the prisoner caused by what you may have heard out of this court and to confine yourselves solely to, and find your verdict in accordance with, the evidence.

Gentlemen, the learned Attorney General in his eloquent opening and closing addresses has made out a strong case against the prisoner, but you are to pay no attention to his statements, nor to those of the learned Counsel who has so ably conducted the case for the prisoner, except so far as they are borne out by the testimony.

(Here the learned Chief Justice minutely summed up the evidence—explained the law bearing on the case, and proceeded as follows:—

Gentlemen, it appears to me that the question you have to try is:—"Has the prisoner at the bar been the cause of the black catalogue of crimes with which he stands charged?" Whether the total suppression or the free agency of the prisoner would be most conducive to the prosperity of the community at large?

The defence does not disprove the main points of the evidence for the prosecution, but seems to rest:—

1st.—On the good acts of the prisoner.

2nd.—That crimes have been committed which he was not cognizant of, and

3rd.—That he has only retaliated when he has been abused.

As to his good acts were a common felon placed on his trial for murder and robbery, we would feel indignant at having a law im-

posed  
that he  
have a  
perty v  
has n  
charge  
disgra  
terfer  
wives  
great  
interf  
Agal  
sands  
and  
for a  
It  
the  
hard  
literation  
why  
pass  
gula  
som  
won  
I  
the  
lia  
He  
be  
the  
da  
T  
th  
to  
in