

known about the Conservative party's theory and about that of the Liberal party as well since the origin of Canada. Now let us see what is the situation at the present time.

We have had a Progressive Conservative government as Tory as could be on the other side, enjoying the largest majority ever given a political party in Canada. We had one million unemployed in 1961, that is ten years ago.

Are people like the hon. member for Edmonton West going to lecture us today? This man was a member of the Diefenbaker government in the years 1957, 1958, 1961, 1962. What was he suggesting then to remedy unemployment? Nothing! This has reached a point where I say, Mr. Speaker, that the hon. member for Edmonton West is about the biggest apple that Parliament has ever known or that has ever sat in the House.

Mr. Speaker, there has to be a limit to being abused by people of the type of the hon. member for Edmonton West. I regret that he is not in his seat, because I would be still harder on him. Since he is not in the House, I will let it go at that even if he is always asking himself what the Cr ditistes are advocating. Before condemning what we are asking for, let the hon. member start trying to understand! But I wonder whether he has enough intelligence to try and understand. I wonder whether he has what it takes to understand. He has a very high opinion of himself, but both inside and outside Parliament I do not feel that oddball from Edmonton West amounts to much.

Mr. Speaker, I am surprised that those people attack the Cr ditistes, while their supporters say: "The provinces are asking for more money." When the provinces are facing financial problems, the Cr ditistes are accused of speaking only of money. The two old-line parties keep talking of taxes, inflation and unemployment, but they cannot even solve those problems.

Some Canadian firms have to contend with imports and foreign competition. We are trying to create competition with those countries. However, I read a few days ago a story in a newspaper. It is not only a story but a definition of the Liberal Canadian, probably like the Postmaster General (Mr. C  t  ) with his red tie, the average Canadian, the Conservative Canadian, the New Democratic Canadian or any other Canadian. It is an excerpt from the magazine *The Informer* published by locals 1250 and 1323 and quoted in *Le Machiniste*. I quote:

A Canadian is someone who drinks Brazilian coffee in an English china cup, who eats French pastry, comfortably seated in a Danish armchair, after returning home in his German, Swedish or Japanese car from seeing an Italian movie—

And he takes a comfortable position in his chair just like the President of the Treasury Board (Mr. Drury). Let me read further on:

—He reaches out for his Czechoslovak pen and starts writing to his member of Parliament—

—to his Canadian member of Parliament—

—to complain about American control over Canadian industry—

### *Alleged Non-Institution of Just Society*

That is the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles). And further:

He is then wearing a shirt made in Hong Kong—

—just like the member for Lapointe (Mr. Marceau)—  
—and trousers made in Taiwan—

—for maximum stretch. This is really no laughing matter. But the article says:

This is quite a joke.

Mr. Speaker, this is more or less the situation in which we find ourselves. And when we suggest regaining control of our economy, which can only be done by using our financial institutions rather than resorting to American financial institutions, people make fun of us. Yet, this is the only way open to us to proceed with the development of our resources, the employment of our young people and of our unemployed in order to build roads, hospitals and public utilities. Instead of requesting financial assistance from the United States or Europe, let us finance our development by our own means. Let us establish the figures right here and not in the United States. People find it difficult to understand that. The hon. member for Edmonton West does not understand anything in this respect. As far as he is concerned the issue has never existed and should never exist. Regarding him, one could say: You have existed. You have been too long in existence and should never exist any more.

However, members of the Progressive Conservative party and Liberal members have shown sympathy towards the Cr ditistes' fate. We are rural people, ordinary workers—

• (2:20 p.m.)

[English]

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Order, please. I regret to interrupt the hon. member, but his time has expired pursuant to the special order made earlier this day.

**Some hon. Members:** Continue.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** The hon. member, of course, may continue if there is unanimous consent. Is there such consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** The hon. member for T  miscamingue.

[Translation]

**Mr. Caouette:** Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to thank especially my colleagues of the other parties who are applauding me because they know that they will understand something in a few moments, particularly the President of the Treasury Board, who is the only representative of the cabinet now in the House.

Mr. Speaker, the Liberals tell me, give the Conservatives a hard time! The Conservatives reply, give the Liberals a hard time! They are alike; that is why they say, give the others a hard time!