

cure nothing that I know of. I would not say it is impossible to cure anything, but I do not know that it does. It is because it is against professional etiquette (to advertise cures and to keep remedies secret) that I say it is disgraceful and infamous; that is, from a doctor's point of view." (Page 130.) "If the statements are true I would not consider it disgraceful in an ordinary person to publish. but in a doctor it is contrary to rules laid down by the Ontario Medical Council, and would be disgraceful." Page 131.

(I would just note here that the accused was admitted to practice before these rules were passed by the Council.)

He continued: "Hydriodic acid is not in the British Pharmacopeia; it is not recognized as an official preparation; it is hardly used at all. It is supposed to act as an alterative and lowerer of the temperature, but that does not seem to be stated on very good authority. . . . It is probable it may have that effect." Page 134.

Dr. Field, having heard read the analysis as to "grippura," said: "It is absolutely worthless; I never tried it for grippa." Page 135.

In re-examination he is asked, "It would be imposing on the credulity of the people?" A. "Yes; obtaining money for something which was not true."

Mr. Kerr objects to the leading, and asks: "If it does what they say the people are not being defrauded." A. "If it does what he says they are not." Page 141.

Dr. Ferris, again examined, said: "It was infamous to withhold a valuable remedy from the profession if it was, as claimed, of general benefit." Page 143. "And that the statements in the circular are infamous and disgraceful from a medical standpoint." Page 142.

Upon all the evidence the Committee then made a written report to the Council, finding proved the charge that the appellant did infamously, disgracefully, improperly and unprofessionally, advertise, and also that the said appellant endeavored to impose on the credulity of the public for the purpose of gain by attempting to deceive the said persons as might read (sic) the said advertisements.

My brother Mabec has commented on the refusal to furnish particulars and to supply a copy of the first evidence, and in the apparent neglect of the Council to read or master all the evidence; and I agree with his observations on these points.

I proceed to what was said by and before the Council when the report was adopted. Dr. C. "The question is a very