

Book Reviews.

Progressive Medicine. A Quarterly Digest of Advances, Discoveries, and Improvements in the Medical and Surgical Sciences. Edited by HOBART AMORY HARE, M.D., Professor of Therapeutics and Materia Medica in the Jefferson Medical College of Philadelphia. Octavo, handsome bound in cloth, 490 pages, twenty-eight illustrations and three colored plates. Philadelphia and New York: Lea Brothers & Co.

The list of contributors to this first volume, issued in March, 1899, forms perhaps the best guarantee as to its excellence. They are A. D. Blackader, of Montreal, on Diseases of Children; J. Chalmers Da Costa, on Surgery of Head, Neck and Chest; Ludvig Hektorn, of Chicago, on Pathology; W. S. Thayer, of Baltimore, on Infectious Diseases, including Croupous Pneumonia (note this title); A. Logan Turner, of Edinburgh, on Laryngology; R. L. Randolph, of Johns Hopkins, on Otology.

The advent of a work such as this every three months must inevitably be a help to every practitioner wise enough to buy it. It has advantages over the annuals of the same kind, occupying indeed a different field, and not really rivalling those excellent works. The index is very full and helpful.

Diseases of the Eye. A Handbook of Ophthalmic Practice for Students and Practitioners. By G. E. DE SCHWEINITZ, A.M., M.D., Professor of Ophthalmology in the Jefferson Medical College; Professor of Diseases of the Eye in the Philadelphia Polyclinic; Ophthalmic Surgeon to the Philadelphia Hospital, etc. With 255 illustrations and two chromo lithographic plates. Price: \$4 cloth; \$5 sheep or one-half morocco. Philadelphia: W. B. Sanders, 925 Walnut Street, 1899.

This third edition is an improvement on the two previous editions, each one of which was deserving of high praise. It is very complete in every detail, and shows most careful attention in regard to every subject. The whole arrangement is excellent. The style is clear, thereby making the descriptions of the different subjects easily to be understood. The great care regarding details should strongly recommend it to the general practitioner; for the absence of this care in some works on the eye is very annoying and dissatisfying. Also the generalizations are comprehensive, and