

adopted in pursuance of its provisions". No material change.

ARTICLE III:- The first paragraph is the same.

The second paragraph contains the changes relating to the incorporation of the recommendations of the Commission. It may be considered to be the vital change made by the draft.

ARTICLE IV:- The same, with the exception of the words "and any regulations adopted thereunder", which are added to the word "convention". No material change.

ARTICLES V - VI, in the draft, are equivalent to Article V of the old convention. No material change.

V.-The three drafts considered together.

I have given above the differences between the existing convention and the United States original draft of a new convention.

It may now suffice to say that the Canadian counter-draft was mainly for the purpose of making, for the sake of clearness as to one of the recommendations, a material change in the second paragraph of Article III of the United States draft, and that this material change has been preserved in the United States counter-draft.

In the Canadian counter-draft, therefore, and the United States counter-draft is incorporated all that it was intended should be and was practically incorporated in the first United States draft.

Consequently, the two counter-drafts differ mainly in the phraseology at certain places.

Attached hereto is a copy of the two documents presented side by side so as to afford possibility of comparison. All changes made by the United States counter-draft have been underlined, and this clearly indicates the changes made.