


COSMOPOLITAN

by STEVAN D. KARON




by Dave Whitworth

THE PLAY OF THE HAND AT BRIDGE

One of the weaknesses of many beginning bridge players as well as some relatively good ones is that they do not plan their play in advance. Fortunately they are usually able to cover their lack of planning and/or knowledge by calling it bad luck. Today's column is a bridge problem which illustrates the value early planning. The East-West hands are omitted as they are relatively unimportant; the contract being makeable against any distribution.

S K 7 4 3
H 6
D K Q 2
C K Q J 6 5



S Q J 5
H A Q 10
D A J 10 8
C 9 8 7

Both sides are vulnerable. North dealt. The bidding went as follows:

North	East	South	West
1 Club	Pass	2 No-Trump	Pass
3 No-Trump	Pass	Pass	Pass

West opened the 4 of Hearts, East playing the Jack. Make the Contract. (For solution, turn to page 8).

ON SINO-INDIAN CRISIS

The most interesting event that has occurred in the last few weeks besides the Cuban situation is the Sino-Indian border dispute. This dispute has suddenly reversed India's relation with the Western nations.

After hearing and reading long statements eulogizing its neutrality by Mr. Nehru even after the abrupt and uncalled-for takeover of Goa, it seems quite a reversal to plead for U.S.A. military aid.

Suddenly the innocent lamb which boisterously proclaimed its non-alignment and ability to stand on its own, needs help. After accusing some Western Nations of atrocious interventions, picturing us as ruthless colonizers, it seeks the aid of these nations. And why does it seek aid from us? Because the peace loving and innocent Russians won't help. Yet we are on the same level of friendship. The best paradox of it all is that the Indian Government long the supporter and sponsor of Red

China's entry to the U.N., picturing Red China as a peace-loving, law abiding nation bears the brunt of that nation's invasion. Of course the Indian Government expects our support in arms. But are we obliged to?

Having chosen neutrality, usually favouring the Communists, I think they expect too much. We should look after our friends and allies. Especially nations such as Iran and Pakistan who would appreciate more aid. Thereby we won't favour and encourage neutral nations. Besides the Communists will just take a small chunk of disputed area which India really does not need.

Now if we do not give India arms, Russia remains the sole source. And that nation, having seen Western attitude, will have an extremely hard time to decide whether she should or not. And perhaps they will give arms once the Red Chinese invade too much of India. Once the Russians supply arms to India, the Communists will be fatally split. Of course the Chinese and Russians know this but can they avoid this? Especially when they are both greedy for new territory.

Besides the West's refusal to give help will also put pressure

on Nehru, as his policy deprived the Indians of Western aid. For surely some Indians at least will want to know why the U.S. will not help them. It might be just a chance, but it is better than to give aid for which we receive nothing in return anyway. By leaving this non-aligned nation to its own perhaps the Indian Government will realize that neutrality does not pay or at least it will tone down its unfounded criticisms of the Western nations in the future.

The main point to remember I feel, is that India needs aid now. But once with this dispute ironed out, it will revert to its unwelcomed "neutrality". Thereby she expects the U.S.A. to supply arms, and even perhaps endanger American personnel's lives for its dispute and in the end ship them out again.

To sum up, I feel, that we have been far too lax with India. If Nehru wants to be neutral, fine. But he can't expect our aid when in trouble. For surely if some dispute between Russia and U.S.A. broke out, Nehru would be neutral. Friendship works two ways. You have to give in order to receive. And if Nehru wants our aid then he should return the compliment.

First Co-ed: "I know what it is to be loved by a cave-man."

Second Co-ed: "Well, I suppose when you were young, dearie, there weren't any other kind of men."

Professor: "Are you yawning?"
Habbeeb: "No, I'm just giving a silent Indian war-hoop."

Student Opinion

Stevan D. Karon

"Do you think Canada should supply Arms to India, and if needs be would you be prepared to fight for India?"

"Yes, we should give arms to India if they request it, but unless India changes her non-alignment policy Canada should not consider sending troops. I would not fight for a country who tries to play the middle (neutral) as India has done. India in a sense has deserted her allies in the West and now is trying to crawl back since her neutral policy has fallen apart."

C.C.D., male, 2 yrs.
Bus, Admin.

should supply arms to India—but fight, no!"

Female, 2nd yr. Arts

"It is the duty of every freedom-loving nation to support both morally and physically if need be the attempts of any other nation to protect their nation. Therefore I would, as a Canadian citizen most emphatically supply India with arms and my own ability to fight for Freedom."

J.B., male, 2nd yr. Arts

"Yes, naturally we should stand by any country against the aggression of the Communist Bloc. And the only way to protect India from this aggression is to stand firm like Kennedy has in the Cuban Crisis. I should say that we should supply India with everything they want, and everything we have."

Male, 2 yrs. Arts

"Canada should supply arms to India if India so requests. As the conflict has not become internationalized I do not think the second clause is relevant."

D.L., male, Post Grad. Arts

"Canada should stand firmly behind India in this conflict and take all necessary means to ensure that the Communists are repelled. At present she is not in my opinion sending enough arms."

T.G., male, 3rd yr. Arts

"In the interest of the Commonwealth, perhaps Canada

DR. MARCUS BLOCH, L-Hy.
President
EASTERN MAGICAL SOCIETY
240 Rivington St.
NEW YORK 2, NEW YORK

Capital Garden Restaurant

Fredericton's Restaurant of Distinction
Phone 5-8331

FOR ALL YOUR JEWELRY & GIFTS
It's . . .

Swazey's
Jewelry & Gifts
China

Easy CREDIT Terms

ROSS-DRUG-UNITED

402 QUEEN STREET
Phone GR 5-4451
602 QUEEN STREET
Phone GR 5-3142
206 ROOKWOOD AVE.
Phone GR 5-4311



ARROW SHIRTS

WHITE
PLAIN
FANCY
BUTTON DOWN
EYELET
REGULAR



GAIETY MEN'S SHOP
NEXT TO THEATRE

AVENUE FLORISTS
DAY 5-8824
NIGHT 5-4951

GREENE'S TV-RADIO SERVICE
Have one of the experts at Greene's repair your radio, T.V. phone or appliance. Prices reasonable - Prompt Service
Cor. King & Carleton GR 5-4449

Graduating Students in Commerce, Economics, Mathematics and Arts . . .

WHY THE CGE BUSINESS TRAINING COURSE HAS BEEN SO SUCCESSFUL IN DEVELOPING OUTSTANDING MANAGERS FOR INDUSTRY

The Company's operations are highly diversified. Thus, men are exposed to varied sets of business problems in such diverse fields as nuclear reactors, heavy apparatus for industry, electronic equipment and appliances for the home.

The Company's organization is decentralized into product business departments. This brings men from finance, engineering, marketing and manufacturing into close daily association for business planning and decision-making, increases knowledge and understanding of all functions of the business.

Rotating assignments are combined with graduate seminar classes. A variety of initial finance-oriented on-the-job assignments in several product business departments and graduate-level seminar courses provide a solid grounding in many areas of business operation.

There are continuing opportunities for professional development. The opportunity to continue throughout a career to move not only across functions, but also between product business departments, assures varied experience in depth. A dynamic environment creates unusual opportunities for the outstanding man.

Company representatives will be visiting your campus for the purpose of interviewing men interested in openings this Spring on -

November 21, 1962



CANADIAN GENERAL ELECTRIC