

British Columbia from Valdez Bay to Cherry Point and the danger for Canada and British Columbia in particular. Accordingly I should like to move, seconded by the hon. member for Comox-Alberni (Mr. Barnett):

That this House herewith declares that the movement of oil by tanker along the coast of British Columbia from Valdez in Alaska to Cherry Point in Washington is inimical to Canadian interests, especially those of an environmental nature,

And further, that this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the government of the United States of America in order that that government be apprised of the concern that the House of Commons of Canada has about the proposed movement of oil.

If I receive unanimous consent, in order not to transgress upon the budget debate or any other matters we are quite prepared to let the motion come to a vote without debate.

**Mr. Speaker:** Hon. members have heard this motion which requires unanimous consent. Is there unanimity?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**An hon. Member:** No.

**Mr. Speaker:** I am not sure whether there is unanimity. Perhaps I will inquire again whether there is unanimity. If hon. members are opposed they should make it clear by their nays. Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. MacEachen:** Mr. Speaker, on a point of order, may I ask you to read the motion again so that the House will be fully aware of its import?

**Mr. Speaker:** The Chair will read the motion, not for the purpose of putting it formally to the House but for the purpose of advising hon. members of its terms:

That this House herewith declares that the movement of oil by tanker along the coast of British Columbia from Valdez in Alaska to Cherry Point in Washington is inimical to Canadian interests especially those of an environmental nature,

And further, that this resolution be forthwith transmitted to the government of the United States of America in order that that government be apprised of the concern that the House of Commons of Canada has about the proposed movement of oil.

I will inquire again whether there is unanimous consent.

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. Speaker:** I have heard no nays; therefore I assume there is unanimous consent.

**Some hon. Members:** Hear, hear!

**Mr. Speaker:** We will assume that the motion has been put. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

Motion agreed to.

*Family Income Security Plan*

**FAMILY INCOME SECURITY PLAN**

PRIORITY FOR BILL—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Mr. Jack Marshall (Humber-St. George's-St. Barbe):** Mr. Speaker, I hope I am not pressing my luck. I wish to propose a motion under Standing Order 43 on a question of urgent and pressing necessity. Bearing in mind the dispatch which the House displayed in the consideration of Bill C-207 concerning old age security and in view of the concern of Canadians about the delay in progress on Bill C-170, an act to increase children's allowances which was referred to committee on April 27, I would move, seconded by the hon. member for Lambton-Kent (Mr. McCutcheon):

That this House urges the government to give highest priority in its management of government business with respect to Bill C-170 and that consideration of clause by clause study be proceeded with immediately by the Standing Committee on Health, Welfare and Social Affairs.

**Mr. Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

**Mr. Bell:** What?

**Mr. Speaker:** There is not unanimity and the motion cannot be put.

\* \* \*

**POST OFFICE**

CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS WITH UNIONS—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

**Hon. W. G. Dinsdale (Brandon-Souris):** Mr. Speaker, I should like to move a motion under Standing Order 43 arising out of the rejection of the Treasury Board offer on wages and job security by the Council of Postal Unions last week, particularly with reference to the introduction of the principle of regional pay differences. I would therefore move, seconded by the hon. member for Hillsborough (Mr. Macquarrie):

That at the next sitting of the House the President of the Treasury Board make a statement on motions indicating what steps the government intends to take to get the negotiations back on course.

**Mr. Speaker:** Is there unanimous consent?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Some hon. Members:** No.

**Mr. Speaker:** There is not unanimity and the motion cannot be put.