

comes, as I have said, subsection 1, in lines 44 and 45, I move to strike out the words, "against the importation or bringing of intoxicating liquors into the said province". So that it will read: "If the prohibition is declared to be in force".

Mr. DAVIS: Again I must ask for a little information. I am not, as I fear some members are, anxious to go home and get this thing through with undue haste. I really want to understand what we are doing, but so far I have found it very difficult to follow the proceedings. It seems that we have not the proper Bill before us, or something is wrong; the numbering of the lines is evidently different in the copy I have.

Mr. DOHERTY: I am proceeding, as I have to proceed, on the Bill as it was originally introduced.

Mr. DOHERTY: For the convenience of the members, so that they would be able to grasp the effect of the amendments contemplated, I had printed and distributed a Bill showing the situation after amendment. If we were proceeding on that it would not be necessary to move these amendments, but I have to proceed upon the Bill as it was originally introduced, copies of which, I think, are available to all the members.

Mr. DAVIS: We were not provided with them.

Mr. DOHERTY: It has been distributed since the Bill was introduced at the beginning of October.

On section 154, paragraph (a)—no importation, etc., allowed:

Mr. DOHERTY: I beg to move to amend subclause (a) by striking out the words "or deliver or cause to be delivered in."

Amendment agreed to.

On subclause (b)—no sale or contract to sell liquor to be delivered in province:

Mr. DOHERTY: I move that subclause (b) be struck out and the following substituted for it:

No person shall, either directly or indirectly, manufacture or sell, or contract or agree to manufacture or sell, any intoxicating liquor to be unlawfully imported, sent, taken or transported into such province.

The reason for changing that clause is that it dealt with the prohibition of sale within the province itself. That is dealt with by the provincial legislation and we do not

[Mr. Doherty.]

desire to supersede or interfere with it. The proposed clause as moved makes it unlawful to sell for the purpose of the liquor being sent into another province. It will be unlawful to manufacture it for the purpose of sending it into a prohibition province.

Amendment agreed to.

On section 154, subclause 3—burden of proof on person accused:

Mr. DOHERTY: I beg to move that the clause be amended by striking out the words "or prohibitions" in lines 33 and 39.

Amendment agreed to.

Mr. DOHERTY: I beg to move to further amend the Bill by adding the following as section 156:

156. (1) Upon the receipt by the Secretary of State of a duly certified copy of a resolution passed by the Legislative Assembly of any province (or, in the case of the Yukon Territory, of the council of the Yukon Territory) requesting that the prohibition in force in such province may be revoked, a poll shall be held and a vote taken to decide whether such prohibition shall be revoked or not, and the provisions of this part as to the proceeding to be taken for bringing the prohibition into force, and the provisions of Part 1, with respect to the revocation of an Order in Council bringing Part 2 of this Act into force, shall apply mutatis mutandis, and the proceedings shall be taken accordingly.

(2) No poll or voting, whether for bringing into force any prohibition or for the revocation of the same, shall be held or had within three years of any previous poll or voting held or had under the provisions of this Part.

It merely makes applicable for the repeal of any prohibition in this part of the Act the provisions already made for the repeal of prohibition under the existing part of the Act.

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: Am I to understand that in so far as the amendment is concerned this is an Act for getting rid of prohibition?

Mr. DOHERTY: It is an Act to provide for those who brought prohibition into force, because in their judgment it was desirable, the very reasonable right of putting an end to the operation of a law, which operation rested upon the expression of their will.

Mr. PORTER: That may be done on the same class of vote as that which brought prohibition into force?

Mr. DOHERTY: Yes.

Section agreed to.

Mr. DOHERTY: I beg to move that the Bill be further amended by adding as sec-