## **SPAR**

## 7.3.2.1 Summary or Ground Based Radars (Continued)

Example calculations demonstrating SEEM results used a satellite altitude of 400 km and radar accuracies as quoted to in Table 7-5. The resulting ephemeris prediction errors are shown in Figure 7-6, for the case of a single horizon-to-horizon observation of the satellite with unknown bias errors as shown in Table 7-4.

As can be seen from Figure 7-5, (reproduced from [53]), the dominant error is the along track prediction, which reaches a value of some 100 km after 9 hours. This may be substantially reduced by calibrating out bias errors and making multiple observations.

## 7.3.3 Existing Spaceborne Radar Systems

Three existing spaceborne radars have been identified which perform similar functions to that required of the Paxsat space segment. These are:

- (a) Gemini Docking Radar
- (b) Apollo Docking Radar
- (c) STS (shuttle) Acquisition and Tracking Radar

Table 7-6 lists the radars with frequency and function. As can be seen from the table, Gemini and Apollo operated in a transponder (SSR) mode only. Table 7-7 gives a more detailed comparative assessment of these two systems [54].

The STS Ku-band system combines both communication and radar system [55,56,57]. The radar system can operate in either a transponder (SSR) or a skin return mode. However, the skin return mode maximum range is 19 nm against a  $6.3 \text{ m}^2$  target. This is probably insufficient for the Paxsat mission. Figure 7-6 is a graph of predicted range measurement accuracy for the STS Ku-band radar [56]. It shows predictions by two different companies (Aximatic and Hughes) as well as the specified requirement on the same graph.