

“That after having carefully examined the arm of the child Labelle, vaccinated by Dr. Larocque in July last, we are of opinion from the appearance of the arm now presented, that there has been no extensive destruction of tissue, and no evidence of any injurious virus having been introduced into the system; and that the scar presents the ordinary appearance of healthy vaccination.”

Dr. Campbell in speaking on the motion said that he who, having any pretensions to being a surgeon, would call that scar a syphilitic one, ought to be turned out of the profession. He further stated, in the course of his remarks that he never knew, during the past forty years, of a bad case of small pox occurring to a single one of the large numbers vaccinated by him.

Dr. Rottot stated that, notwithstanding the general impression, he did not believe it was the intention of Dr. Coderre and his friends to injure the reputation of their brother practitioner Dr. Larocque, but to carry out their anti-vaccination programme.

An amendment to the motion was then proposed by Dr. Raymond seconded by Dr. Hamelin “That in this case of the vaccination of the child Labelle, vaccination has been proved to have been followed by bad results.”

The following voted for the amendment: Drs. Coderre, Beaudry, Brosseau, Durocher, Barsalou, Craig, Gauthier, Jacques, Archambault, Filiatrault, Hamelin, Raymond, Dagenais, Vilbon, Gariepy, Fafard, and Dubout. Total 17.

The following voted *nay*: Drs. G. W. Campbell, Rottot, Thompson, Trenholme, Howard, MacCallum, Rollin, Girilwood, Larocque, Rodger, Genand, David, Perrault, McDonell, Mondelet, Reed, Fenwick, Bessey, Barnes, Kennedy, O'Rourke, Ed. Robillard, Gagnon, Millette, Dugdale, Nap. Robillard, Leblanc, Desmarteau, Browne, Bourque, Mathieu, Leduc, Mount, Beaubien, Grenier, Rivard, Ricard, Laramee, and Roddick. Total 39.

The motion was carried by a similar contra-division.

Dr. Fenwick now moved, seconded by Dr. Ed. Robillard,