

THE RED MAN.

Why the Indians Rose in Rebellion Two Years Ago.

The Responsibility Placed Upon the Government's Shoulders.

The revolting tale of the government's mismanagement of Indian affairs already made in these columns would not be complete without a reference or two to the testimony of the Northwest missionaries, its cause by the Presbyterian church and the government's responsibility for the Indian uprising.

A MISSIONARY'S EVIDENCE.

The Rev. Mr. Robertson, superintendent on Presbyterian missions, says:—

I am asked where are Indians starving, searching refuse heaps and will barrels, and ravenously devouring crusts of bread and scraps of meat? At Minnedosa, Birtle, Broadview, Fort Qu'Appelle, Prince Albert, Battleford, Moosejaw, Medicine Hat and the rest. I have seen them doing this. It might have been that they were very hungry, or preferred dried crusts and decaying meat to tender well-bred beef, but I do not think of accounting for their action that way.

It is surprising therefore that the matter should be brought before the Presbyterian church of Canada in consequence of the representation by its Northwest missionaries; and that the government's course should be condemned not merely by men like the Rev. Principal Cavan but by Conservatives like Rev. Principal Grant? The latter said:—

We are doing a very solemn act, and we should all deliberately enter or approve of this motion by all rising in attestation of our feelings on the subject. I feel that we have been guilty of a national sin, and if we do not repent we will be punished as a nation, and a worse thing will come upon us if we do not mend our doings.

No wonder then that the Presbyterian General Assembly of Canada adopted by a standing vote this resolution:—

That the General Assembly, whilst disclaiming all political party aims, feels bound to give expression to its convictions regarding the treatment of the Indians in the Northwest by the Dominion of Canada. No judgment is here expressed on our general policy towards the Indians, and the meritorious character and services of many servants of the government in the Indian department are gladly recognized; but it seems to be established by irrefragable evidence that in too many instances a people who are wards of the government are being wronged and defrauded by those who are specially appointed to care for them and promote their interests; whilst flagrant immorality is too often chargeable upon public servants, as well as upon traders and other whites who come much in contact with the Indian population.

The archbishop further alleges that the Indians who should have been cared for and protected by the government, were left a prey to the seductions of men revoltingly immoral, and when this was pointed out the friends of humanity had another regret to register. He alleges that in other cases "the Indians were deprived of the pittance assigned to them, or it was given to them as if they were dogs!" They were too often deceived.

METHODIST TESTIMONY.

The Rev. Mr. McDougall, the well known Methodist missionary, interviewed in the Toronto Mail on January 13, 1886 said:—

We could not find nor did we try to find, any excuse for the promises made but not fulfilled, for the cut throat policy often exhibited and sometimes enforced by officials of the Indian department, for the shameful and immoral lives of many of the same. Some of these were a disgrace to the lowest barbarism, let alone civilization. Nor how could we, when earnestly trying to teach Indians habits of industry and thrift, be expected to teach the ways of the government those lessons we have been working for them to acquire for so many years.

Very little rebellion in these men ten or fifteen years ago, and had the conduct of government officials of every department sent into this country been such as to command the respect of the natives there would have been no rebellion on the part of the Indians last spring, nor would the smouldering influences thereof still rankle in the hearts of many. The same system is being continued without change. Not one of the officials complained of has been removed or interfered with. The government has refused to accept advice from any quarter.

Such is the record of the Dominion government's mal-administration of Indian affairs, the terrible consequence of which are so well known. Will the electors of Ottawa endorse such a government? No! We believe they will

The natives are subjected to a course of starvation which results either in their gradual dying off or in an outbreak on the part of the starved. Neither of these results can appear commendable in the eyes of humanity. The process is certainly not undertaken in such a way as to encourage the poor people to support themselves, for it is not accompanied by the necessary instruction. To simply withhold their food and tell them that must find a living for themselves is about as sensible a piece of business as to turn a cow horse loose in a desert to discover his own food. The Indian's accustomed way of living is now closed to him, and he must either be kept properly as a pensioned or be rationally taught a new way.

The Hon. Henry J. Clarke, ex-attorney-general of Manitoba, and a life long Conservative, in an interview published in the Winnipeg Sun, says:—

They (the Indians) were in many instances cruelly treated; they were robbed by the contractors; four and other food given to them that was unfit for use; the people of the Dominion paid for the very best flour, and a very bad kind of flour was supplied by the Indian agents, whose "back stairs" influence at Ottawa has prevented investigation. Brutal ruffians were appointed as farm instructors for the Indians, who maltreated the poor people in the most brutal manner, answering them with kicks and blows, accompanied with showers of profanity and disgusting epithets; of the farm instructors killed by the Indians two were universally known to be brutal wretches such as I mentioned, and the priests lost their lives in attempting to save them from the pestilence which the savages. Let a commissioner be appointed or a committee of parliament, and I pledge myself to show to the people of this Dominion such a picture of the facts, as well make them wonder how it is that rebellion did not break out years ago. Had not the Indians been restrained by the priests and ministers, the farm inspectors and other paid politicians appointed over them would have been killed long ago.

All who have read the letters of the Rev. John McDougall and the Rev. Jas. Robertson must admit that the charges made by Mr. Clark are corroborated in every particular. Mr. Robertson declares that many of the Indian agents appointed by the present Government are dishonest, untruthful and shockingly immoral, and he points to the significant fact that while Riel could not get an Indian to join him in 1870, all the tribes were ready to take up arms in 1885, because of the cruelty to which they had been subjected by Government agents. Had the Indians not been robbed, plundered, starved and goaded to desperation, a hundred Riels and Dumonts would not have been able to induce them to go on the war path.

ARCHBISHOP TACHE'S CHARGE.

Archbishop Tache says the surprising thing is not that the Indians took up arms, but that they did not do so long before, considering the manner in which they were treated. Of the tragedy at Frog Lake, the archbishop says:—

At the risk of creating great surprise, I affirm that the massacres were not committed without previous provocation. I there invoke the testimony of one of the victims himself. The Rev. Father Fard said, in conversation with another missionary, who in turn related to me:—"Such a one (naming an official) acts with shameful brutality towards the Indians. He will be killed some day dry." The person alluded to was killed, and two devoted missionaries increased the number of victims they were striving to protect. A gentleman whose veracity I cannot question assured me that some Indians had told him in 1884 an individual whom he mentioned "treated them like dogs," and the same individual was killed by the Indians who had lodged the complaint against him.

The archbishop further alleges that the Indians who should have been cared for and protected by the government, were left a prey to the seductions of men revoltingly immoral, and when this was pointed out the friends of humanity had another regret to register. He alleges that in other cases "the Indians were deprived of the pittance assigned to them, or it was given to them as if they were dogs!" They were too often deceived.

METHODIST TESTIMONY.

The Rev. Mr. McDougall, the well known Methodist missionary, interviewed in the Toronto Mail on January 13, 1886 said:—

We could not find nor did we try to find, any excuse for the promises made but not fulfilled, for the cut throat policy often exhibited and sometimes enforced by officials of the Indian department, for the shameful and immoral lives of many of the same. Some of these were a disgrace to the lowest barbarism, let alone civilization. Nor how could we, when earnestly trying to teach Indians habits of industry and thrift, be expected to teach the ways of the government those lessons we have been working for them to acquire for so many years.

Very little rebellion in these men ten or fifteen years ago, and had the conduct of government officials of every department sent into this country been such as to command the respect of the natives there would have been no rebellion on the part of the Indians last spring, nor would the smouldering influences thereof still rankle in the hearts of many. The same system is being continued without change. Not one of the officials complained of has been removed or interfered with. The government has refused to accept advice from any quarter.

Such is the record of the Dominion government's mal-administration of Indian affairs, the terrible consequence of which are so well known. Will the electors of Ottawa endorse such a government? No! We believe they will

express their condemnation by electing Messrs McLintyre and St. Jean, the Liberal candidates.

COUNTY COUNCIL

FIRST DAY

Goderich, Jan. 26, 1886

The council met to-day pursuant to Statute, the clerk in the chair. The county councillors elected, certificates from the clerk of their respective municipalities of having been elected reeves and deputy-reeves for the current year.

The clerk called the roll and then requested the council to elect their warden.

Moved by Mr. Girvin, seconded by Mr. Campbell, that David Walker, Esq., reeve of Tuckermant, be warden for the current year.

After complimentary reference to Mr. Walker by Mr. Johnston the motion was carried unanimously.

The warden having taken the chair, thanked the council for the honor they had conferred upon him, and made and subscribed the declaration of office.

The minutes of the last day of December were read and approved.

Moved by Mr. Johnston, seconded by Mr. Bissett, that a committee of five be appointed to select the standing committee for the current year, and that the selecting committee be instructed to have the names of the different committees printed and distributed as usual. Carried.

Upon a ballot being taken the committee was declared to consist of Messrs. Hollier, Kelly, Wray, Wilson and Torrance.

Moved by Mr. Campbell, seconded by Mr. McIntosh, that to N. Watson, of Senoira, be auditor for the current year. Carried.

Moved by Mr. Johnston appointed Archibald Dickson the other auditor.

Moved by Mr. Girvin, seconded by Mr. Kay, that the sum of three thousand dollars be raised by this council for the improvement of the boundary lines of the respective municipalities the said sum to be expended as directed by by-law passed for this purpose, and that each municipality be paid under the June meeting, when the clerk calculates the respective amounts coming to each municipality. Carried.

By No. 1, 1887, licensing hawkers and peddlars, was read and passed.

Moved by Mr. Campbell, seconded by Mr. McMillan, that this council now adjourn, to meet again at 10 a.m. tomorrow. Carried.

SECOND DAY

Wednesday, Jan. 26

The council met pursuant to adjournment, the warden in the chair. All the councillors were present.

Report of the selecting committee was read and adopted.

Moved by Mr. Kay, seconded by Mr. Torrance, that Mr. Turnbull, of Clinton, and Mr. Halls of Goderich, be appointed to the board of county examiners, for the examination of school teachers for the current year. Carried.

Moved by Mr. Durbin, seconded by Mr. Whiteley, that Messrs F. W. Johnston and P. Adams be appointed on the board of audit in terms of the Act in that behalf No. 1, 1887.

Moved by Mr. Girvin, seconded by Mr. Anderson, that the sum of three hundred dollars be granted by this council to be equally divided between the branch agricultural and horticultural societies in this county. Carried.

A circular from the county council of Hastings, requesting the co-operation of this council in memorializing the Legislative Assembly to group contiguous counties, and locate a preliminary asylum for the treatment of insane criminals, was read and referred to the special committee.

A communication from W. J. Paisley, in reference to the estimates submitted by the board of license commissioners for West Huron, was read and filed.

A communication from the county clerk, Perth, in reference to the Drainage Act engineers, was read and referred to the special committee.

Moved by Mr. Girvin, seconded by Mr. McPherson, that the clerk be instructed to procure a copy of the statutes of Ontario in reference to each reeve and deputy-reeve of this council, and said statutes to be the property of the respective municipalities. Referred to financial committee.

A petition from Mr. Jones, in reference to the Torrens system of registration, was read and referred to the special committee.

A petition from Mr. Noelands and others, requesting a pedlar's license for James Johnston was read. Finance committee.

The expense of the Warden's committee for 1886, were read and referred to salaries committee.

Moved by Mr. Cox, seconded by Mr. Whiteley, that the half of the boundary line appropriation for Goderich township, formerly expended on the Bayfield line be expended on the Huron Road and first conserved. Carried.

Moved by Mr. Milne, seconded by Mr. Kay, that this council being informed that an application is being made to the Local Legislature to form a new county, and to take away from the county of Huron the townships of Grey and Howick and the villages of Brussels and Woxeter, that this council not earnestly protest against the same being carried out, it being against the wishes of the people of the municipalities named. And that the warden and clerk be instructed to petition the Legislature in behalf of this council against this act being consummated. Carried.

An application from Mrs. Dickson, matron of jail, for an increase of salary, was read and referred to the salaries committee.

Letter from Mr. Hensworth and Dr. Coleman in reference to standard weight of salt, were read and filed.

An application to Prisoners' Aid Association, was read and referred to the finance committee.

A number of accounts were presented, and referred to finance committee.

Letter from county treasurer with statement of accounts, non resident taxes collected, and estimated and actual expenditure for 1886.

Moved by Dr. Rollins, seconded by Mr. McMillan, that the equalization of the municipalities be left over until the June session of this council. Carried on a division by a majority of 18.

Moved by Mr. Wray, seconded by Mr. Howe, that this council do now adjourn to meet tomorrow at 10 o'clock a.m. Carried.

(Continued on page 2.)

Goderich Township.

Holmesville, Jan. 17, 1887.

Council met to-day pursuant to statute. Members all present, consisting of John Cox, Esq., reeve, Joseph Whiteley, Esq., deputy reeve, and Messrs. Lathwait, McLeod and Churchill, councillors. These handed in to the clerk their property qualifications and declaration of office. The reeve took the chair.

Moved by J. Lathwait, seconded by J. Whiteley, that the salaries of the reeve, viz: No. 1 Ward, S. Johnston, R.O., \$4, use of hall, \$2, clerk and constable, \$2; No. 2, N. Sturdy, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk and constable, \$2; No. 3, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 4, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 5, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 6, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 7, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 8, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 9, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 10, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 11, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 12, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 13, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 14, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 15, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 16, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 17, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 18, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 19, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 20, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 21, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 22, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 23, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 24, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 25, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 26, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 27, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 28, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 29, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 30, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 31, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 32, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 33, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 34, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 35, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 36, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 37, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 38, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 39, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 40, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 41, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 42, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 43, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 44, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 45, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 46, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 47, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 48, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 49, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 50, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 51, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 52, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 53, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 54, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 55, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 56, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 57, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 58, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 59, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 60, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 61, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 62, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 63, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 64, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 65, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 66, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 67, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 68, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 69, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 70, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 71, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 72, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 73, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 74, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 75, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 76, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 77, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 78, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 79, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 80, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 81, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 82, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 83, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 84, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 85, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 86, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 87, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 88, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 89, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 90, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 91, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 92, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 93, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 94, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 95, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 96, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 97, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 98, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 99, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 100, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 101, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 102, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 103, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 104, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 105, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 106, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 107, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 108, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 109, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 110, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 111, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 112, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 113, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 114, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 115, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 116, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 117, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 118, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 119, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 120, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 121, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 122, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 123, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 124, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 125, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 126, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 127, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 128, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 129, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 130, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 131, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 132, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 133, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 134, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 135, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 136, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 137, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 138, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 139, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 140, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 141, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 142, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 143, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 144, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 145, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 146, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 147, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 148, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 149, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 150, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 151, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 152, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 153, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 154, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 155, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 156, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 157, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 158, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 159, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 160, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 161, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 162, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 163, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 164, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 165, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 166, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 167, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 168, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 169, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 170, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 171, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 172, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 173, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 174, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 175, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 176, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 177, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 178, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 179, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 180, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 181, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 182, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 183, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 184, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 185, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 186, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 187, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 188, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 189, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 190, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 191, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 192, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 193, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 194, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 195, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 196, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 197, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 198, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 199, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 200, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 201, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 202, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 203, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 204, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 205, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 206, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 207, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 208, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, clerk, \$1; No. 209, H. Elford, R.O., \$4, use of house, \$2, constable, \$1; No. 210, Wm. Crooks, R.O., \$4, use of house,