

s of SE

5c. 10c. 15c. 20c. 25c. 30c. 35c. 40c.

& Co., Grocers.

TEA!

"Tea." They are flat, taste special brands have only to most popular brands.

50c. 1.00. 1.50. 2.00. 2.50. 3.00. 3.50. 4.00. 4.50. 5.00.

COMPANY, LIMITED 41 JOHNSON STREET. COMPANY, LIMITED GOVERNMENT STREET.

PERSONAL

Cludet has returned from an of the smelter at Ladysmith, was the guest of Thos. Kiddie, will leave to-day for Ross. He will spend a week or ten days before leaving for the visit to British Columbia has enjoyable one. He regrets that he will not be able to see the new Victoria so soon, as an endeavor has been spent here. The old was acquainted with his father ago have contributed in no to the enjoyment of it.

Mrs. Frank Barnard have a year during the world. From Victoria to San Francisco, and from San Francisco, New Zealand, and then on to Europe. Mr. Barnard of all the British colonies he found none to compare with

Jackson left Sunday morning Alpha, where he will complete studies preparatory to engagement in British Columbia. Mr. is already passed with the highest of the California Medical Col.

Ripley, accompanied by his wife, arrived from England on Monday registered at the Dominion Hotel on Monday for Alaska, will spend some weeks fishing in big game.

Henderson, of the public works, left on Sunday for Okanagan, will inspect the route for the telephone line from that point to past Vernon.

BORN. In this city, on Friday, the wife of Mr. Alex. Ding, a daughter.

MARRIED. LILVANT-On Sept. 16th, 1904, John Smith, of the U. S. Customs, his Catherine Bullivant, both of County, in the State of Washington, A. S.

LIAMS-At Nelson, on Sept. 15th, Rev. P. H. Graham, John and Miss Alice Josephine.

FORSYTHE - CHAPMAN-At Vancouver, on Sept. 16th, H. J. Underhill, Joseph D. and Miss Madge Forsythe, and Chapman and Miss Joseph M. R.

ILLER-At Ymir, on Sept. 12th, Henry Young, J. W. Huddy and My Miller.

FERGUSON-At New Westminster, on Sept. 14th, by Rev. J. S. Hen, Charles Weller and Miss Martin.

ARTIN-At Vancouver, on Sept. 14th, by Rev. J. M. MacLeod, Roy D. and Miss Madge Forsythe, and Chapman and Miss Joseph M. R.

ERS-MASON-At Vancouver, on Sept. 14th, by Rev. R. Newton Powell, W. H. Gillanders and Miss Mason.


AND-STANLEY-At Nelson, on Sept. 14th, by Rev. E. H. H. Holman, McCausland and Miss Emily Stanley.

ARSHILL-At Revelstoke, on Sept. 14th, by Rev. C. H. M. Sutherland, John and Miss Agnes Marshall.

ODGE-At Revelstoke, on Sept. 14th, by Rev. W. C. Calder, Rev. David and Miss Muriel H. Hodge.

DIED. At Vancouver, B. C., on Sept. 19th, Isiah M. Sparrow, a native of Canada, in his eighty-first year.

CK-On the 16th inst., Harold of Henry and May Frederick, years and 11 months. At Revelstoke, on Sept. 12th, inst., aged 23 years.



THE GREAT CORPORATION—Well I'll Be Blowed! They've been accusing me for years of holding up the country, and now they want to turn the tables on me.

HOW LOCALS WON LACROSSE MATCH

THEY BEAT SEATTLE BY A LARGE MARGIN

Commencement Was Slow and Uninteresting, But Players Finally Warm-up to Game.

On the home, and several first-class individual players on the defence, Seattle would have been defeated by a much larger score. Messrs. Peels, West, Dewar, Morris, McConnell and Jessop played well, their combination being neat and reliable, and the shooting in most cases fairly accurate. Deily and Dewar were undoubtedly the most prominent among the defence players, while Peels at inside home filled his position perfectly.

Taken all round the exhibition was a credit to both teams. It was free from objectionable features, there being no inordinately rough play. For a team that has only been organized a season Seattle showed up splendidly. As already mentioned the team comprises some star players. On the defence Sam Lorimer cannot be beaten, while as a home player Ed. Milne is as fast and reliable as any on the coast. All that is wanted is practice to make the aggregation as strong as any Northwest twelve. It is a difficult matter to criticize or praise the local team on their play in this game. In the first quarter the Seattle boys had the advantage. Victoria's defence seemed to have vanished, and the visitors were able to run in an shot upon a practically defenceless goalkeeper. For allowing such a thing to occur the players deserve severe censure. Their performances later on in rapid combination and shooting were most creditable, and the enthusiastic applause of those present was well earned. In a match with either Vancouver or Westminster, however, the locals would have to "wake up" a little earlier if they expected victory.

C. A. U. AND N. A. L. U. The article of agreement which have been presented to the C. A. U. by the representatives of the N. A. L. U. was approved at a recent meeting of the latter organization, and in consequence of this a formal application for membership in the C. A. U. will at once be forwarded by the N. A. L. U. and A. Memner, president of the N. A. L. U., will be that union's first representative on the board of governors of the C. A. U., says a Montreal dispatch. The schedule was rearranged and some of the amendments to the constitution were adopted, notably the one which prevents a player after having played two matches with a club in the N. A. L. U. or the C. L. A. transferring his allegiance until two seasons shall have expired. Another important motion adopted was one regarding a sub-committee of the N. A. L. U. to meet representatives of the C. L. A., in order to join that body and place the control of athletics in Canada in the hands of one governing organization.

ARGONAUTS WON. According to a special dispatch from Sudbury, Ont., the Vancouver Argonauts played the local team there on Thursday, the latter being the C. L. A. district champions. The game, which was a splendid exhibition of lacrosse, and was witnessed by the biggest crowd ever present at a match in Sudbury, was won by the Vancouver boys by a score of seven goals to three. The Argonauts will play Winnipeg to-day.

THE GALE IN AUSTRALIA. "In order to do honor to a visiting lacrosse player from Australia in the person of Norman Ferguson, captain of the East Fremantle team (West Australia), most of the members of the Vancouver senior team and several intermediates turned out to practice on Thursday evening at the Point. Mr. Ferguson was profuse in his thanks to the boys for getting out now that the evenings are so short. He states that he

saw many points of play brought out which were in the nature of a revelation to him, principal among which was the ease with which the players handled their sticks, the system they worked on and absence of long runs. In Australia they do altogether too much running, and too much long throwing, but then their sticks are mostly the old-fashioned ones, designed for long throwing, and too heavy to handle with the celerity the Vancouver boys show. Lally's sticks are just coming into vogue in Australia, and Mr. Ferguson states that when their players are equipped with up-to-date lacrosse the standard of play will be very much improved—then look out for an offer from the Antipodes to finance an all-Canadian team."

THE KENNEL. A FAMOUS SETTER. The accompanying picture is that of T. P. McConnell's justly famous English setter dog, Count Rego. There are few, if any, better representatives of this popular breed on the Coast. He is winner of 22 firsts and special prizes in the largest Coast shows

under the strongest competition, and all under different judges. The latter have all pronounced him a setter of the very highest class. Judge Davidson, who is recognized as the best authority on English setters in America, said during the last Victoria show, when Count Rego won special for the best English setter dog in the show, that he possessed the best body, quarters, legs and feet of any setter yet shown on the Coast, with magnificent head and action. He was bred by his present owner, T. P. McConnell, who has refused many flattering offers for him from Eastern fanciers, and is by Albert's Fleet out of that grand bitch, Ch. Albert's Rosalind, and was whelped October 15th, 1902.

WESTMINSTER'S SHOW. The second annual show of the New Westminster Kennel Club, which will be held under the auspices of the Western Kennel League on October 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th, in connection with the Royal City provincial exhibition, is creating some interest among local fanciers. It is understood that a large number of Victoria prize-winning dogs will be placed in competition for the handsome prizes offered. Against the local dogs will be found the best bred canines of Vancouver, Westminster, Seattle, Tacoma and possibly Portland. Arrangements have been made with Frank Turner, of this city, to act as judge. Mr. Turner is generally acknowledged as one of the best posted authorities on the Coast and

all fanciers are prepared to accept his decisions without hesitation. J. A. Vidal, secretary of the New Westminster club, writing to the Times, announces that entries close at midnight on Monday, the 20th inst. He says the prizes being offered are well worth trying for, consisting of medals, diplomas, cash, as well as a large number of specials. Bonuses will also be presented handlers bringing the largest string from British Columbia or United States points. He further explains that the benching facilities are all that could be desired, while special premises have been provided. This and the cost of listing all dogs shown with the W. K. L. for a year, are included in the \$2 entrance fee, and the charge for entering a dog in additional classes is only 50c. Particular attention is called to those latter classes, some Victorians may think their dogs not in good enough form to bench since the shooting season opened, but they will be no worse off than runners in other places, and a good showing from Victoria will be like casting bread on the water."

ELECTION OF OFFICERS. The following officers were elected at a meeting of the Canadian Kennel Club held in Toronto last week: Patron, William Hendie, Hamilton; hon. president, R. Gibson, Delaware, Ont.; president, John G. Kent, Toronto; first vice-president, Rev. Thomas Geoghegan, Hamilton; vice-presidents, R. E. Trimble, Toronto; C. W. M'Nair, Victoria, B. C.; Geo. Caverhill, Montreal; O. J. Albee, Lawrence, Cal.; W. C. Lee, Winnipeg; G. B. Borrdale, Medicine Hat, B. C.; secretary-treasurer, H. B. Donovan; auditors, G. B. Sweetnam (Toronto), A. A. Macdonald (Leer Park); executive committee, James Lindsay, Montreal; Wesley Mills, Montreal; W. H. Drummond, Montreal; W. P. Fraser, Toronto; A. A. Macdonald, Deer Park; F. W. Jacob, Toronto; Dr. C. Y. Ford, Kingston; J. Cromwell Cox, Ottawa; T. A. Armstrong, Ottawa; Rev. J. De-O'Gorman, Gananoque; John G. Harvey, Toronto.

CHAMPIONSHIP MEET. In connection with the United States amateur golf championship meet, which is held at Sherhill, N. J., the following appeared in the Montreal Star: "Looking over the field of golfers, one is surprised at the number of extremely young but ambitious players. This outcome is quite in keeping with the trend all this season in the East. The West felt the same significant development a year earlier. It means that in a couple of seasons more golfers, upon leaving the preparatory schools, will be as far advanced as collegians have previously been in their junior or senior years.

"It is safe to say that aside from Walter J. Travis, and possibly three or four other stars, the bulk of attention goes to such golfers as A. H. Swords, the diminutive member of the Merriottown Field Club; Edward Shippen, also of Merriottown, who comes of golfing stock, and whose age may be as much as 14 years; H. G. Hartwell, the plump but youthful captain of St. Paul's school team; Roy E. Des Baines, the boy champion of the Fishing club; young Jerome D. Travers, the inter-scholastic champion; Chandler Egan, of Harvard, who turned his twentieth birthday anniversary last week; Whitney Baker, of Princeton; Murray Oliphant, Jr., the champion of New Jersey; and Harold Wilcox, the 18-year-old Metropolitan champion, who is more than 6 feet tall.

"Among those playing at the beginning, one from the state of Washington, another from Texas, a third from St. Louis, a fourth from Washington, D. C., a fifth from San Francisco, and the others from Boston, Philadelphia and scattering points. This gives a fair idea of the representative character of the event. There is scarcely a corner of the country without an entrant. No other event calls together the players in such a way and their interchange of opinion on such a time unquestionably does much good.

"For instance, the Pacific slope men have been talking over the California championship, which, while it professes to be the Pacific Coast championship, is not in reality, inasmuch as players from the Northwest have not been admitted. The bars are likely to come down in time. The Northwest is in an earlier stage of development than in the south, most of the courses being nine holes. Such being true, F. C. Newton, of Seattle, is naturally greatly pleased with the Baltusrol course and spent a large part of last week playing over it. He is in touch with the golf interests of British Columbia, and is sure to bring back the sport there in a high plane and the day is not far distant when there will be an exchange of compliments between Eastern and Western players. Deily and Dewar are ready with the players of Eastern Canada.

"The players here from the St. Louis district bring glowing accounts of the Glen Cotton course, as will be seen from the fact that the R. B. first team led the first teams of the Fifth by nine points at the 200 yards. At the 500 yards the positions were reversed. The Fifth team gaining sixteen points at that range, which left them with a lead of seven points to go back to 600 yards. They increased their lead at the latter range by thirteen points, which gave them a win by twenty-eight points over the R. B. team. The second team of the Fifth led their opponents at each range, and eventually won out by a majority of eight points.

The following are the scores: Royal Engineers—First Team. 200, 500, 600, 700. Co. Sgt.-Maj. F. Guest. 24 27 33 34. Co. M. Sergt. Clark. 30 31 34 34. Lee. Corp. Harvey. 31 33 27 31. Corp. J. Simpson. 31 29 26 28. Sapr. Snelgrove. 30 30 25 27. Sapr. T. Jervisy. 30 25 27 28. Major Bond. 30 26 24 30. Sapr. O'Donnell. 32 25 20 27. 201 225 213 689.

Fifth Regt. C. A.—First Team. 200, 500, 600, 700. Sgt.-Maj. E. McDougall. 33 34 30 37. Corp. A. Bryshaw. 31 32 29 32. Sgt. F. Richardson. 31 33 28 32. Gr. W. Duncan. 32 32 29 30. Gr. R. J. Butler. 32 27 30 32. Co. Sgt.-Maj. J. Cayen. 28 32 27 27. Sgt. G. S. Carr. 29 25 27 31. Co. M. Sergt. Winaby. 28 26 26 31. 242 241 228 700.

Royal Engineers—Second Team. 200, 500, 600, 700. Sapr. Stanley. 27 27 27 28. Sapr. Woodward. 24 27 27 27. Sapr. Santo. 25 24 24 27. Sapr. Kennard. 26 27 20 27. Corp. Knight. 25 25 22 27. Lieut. French. 26 23 20 29. Lieut. Corp. Burdett. 28 22 19 30. Sapr. Dalton. 29 23 16 30. 213 200 170 583.

Fifth Regt. C. A.—Second Team. 200, 500, 600, 700. Gr. Wait. Winaby. 29 29 22 33. Sgt. J. Anderson. 30 26 26 32. Gr. E. W. Currie. 28 29 26 30. Gr. R. T. Strachan. 27 27 22 27. Lieut. R. Angus. 29 22 23 27. Gr. G. W. Neill. 25 24 21 20. Gr. A. Toller. 29 23 15 17. Corp. M. Doyle. 29 21 17 27. 218 202 171 501.

Other scores made were as follows: Mr. A. R. Langley. 31 31 30 30. Gr. W. J. Bucket. 27 28 26 31. Gr. E. T. Lawrie. 27 29 25 31. Br. A. M. Altkon. 37 25 28 30. Br. A. Richardson. 25 24 27 28. Sgt. R. B. King. 30 21 25 27. Gr. S. J. Patton. 26 31 16 22. Sgt. R. Lorimer. 27 21 22 27.

CRICKET. VICTORIA DEFEATED. The Victoria cricket team was defeated by the eleven on Saturday afternoon at the Canton grounds. The score was 154 to 109. The Navy team played a splendid game. Their batting was of a high order, and the bowling was likewise good. Victoria's bowlers, Gooch and Blinn, were absent.

Monkey Brand Soap makes copper like gold, tin like silver, crockery like marble, and windows like crystal.

Any man is liable to kick if you call him a mule.

The postmaster may be unable to send on a button, but he can catch up a quarrel.

Police Magistrate Williams, of Vancouver, was accused of selling a pair of shoes and a razor stop after 6 o'clock in the evening and before 5 o'clock in the morning, on a date prior to a Sunday or a holiday. The case was tried in the police court, A. St. G. Hamersley, K. C., conducting the defence of the by-law, for the affair was made a test case, and Mr. Goldberg's defence, conducted by J. Edward Bird, resulted into an attack on the by-law. Magistrate Williams reserved judgment in the case until Saturday. The judgment follows:

It is admitted by both the counsel for the prosecution and for the defence that if by-law 330, under which the information in question is laid, was passed in pursuance of the powers contained in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, it is invalid and void as not being in the terms of the act. Mr. Hamersley, on behalf of the prosecution, alleges that the by-law was passed in pursuance of the provisions contained in the Vancouver Incorporation Act, 1900, section 125, sub-section 19. It would appear that the section applied to Sunday observance only, but if a construction of a more liberal interpretation, I think parliament never intended to give authority to the municipality to deal with any particular class of stores to the exclusion of others. The act in question says stores and places of business, "not as in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, or in any class or classes of shops, etc. The by-law would operate as against all stores of business, and not against a certain class only. The by-law is partial and unequal in its operation as between different classes, and on that ground cannot be sustained. Regina vs. Levi, 1890, 30, Dalt., R. 403; Crews vs. Johnson, 1898, W. B. Q., at p. 90. The Shops Regulations Act, 1900, was by the Shops Regulation Act Amendment Act, 1901, section 15, declared to apply to the city of Vancouver, and this latter act received the Royal assent on the 11th of May, 1901. The by-law in question was published on August 1st, 1900, when the Shops Regulation Act applied to the City of Vancouver. The Shops Regulation Act, 1900, gives the city council authority to pass by-laws for closing any class of shops during certain hours, but such a by-law can only be passed on an application of at least three-fourths of the occupiers of shops of the class to which the application relates; that is, the by-law can only be passed at the request of those to whom it relates. Under said sub-section 19, of section 125, no such request is necessary for the purpose of enabling the council to initiate and pass such by-law. I think that the provisions of sub-section 19, of section 125, of the Vancouver Incorporation Act, insofar as it may be authority for the by-law in question, and the provisions of the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, are clearly inconsistent, and of necessity there is an implied repeal of such inconsistent provisions of said sub-section 19 of section 125. See Beal's Canadian Rules of Legal Interpretation, pages 220 and 221. I am clearly of the opinion that the by-law is, for the above reasons, void. I dismiss the information.

Police Magistrate Williams, of Vancouver, on Saturday pronounced judgment in the case of F. C. Goldberg, charged with breaking the Early Closing By-Law. He quoted many authorities dealing with the subject, and said that after listening to arguments on both sides he concluded the by-law null and void and dismissed the information.

It was on August 25th that Mr. Goldberg was accused of selling a pair of shoes and a razor stop after 6 o'clock in the evening and before 5 o'clock in the morning, on a date prior to a Sunday or a holiday. The case was tried in the police court, A. St. G. Hamersley, K. C., conducting the defence of the by-law, for the affair was made a test case, and Mr. Goldberg's defence, conducted by J. Edward Bird, resulted into an attack on the by-law. Magistrate Williams reserved judgment in the case until Saturday. The judgment follows:

It is admitted by both the counsel for the prosecution and for the defence that if by-law 330, under which the information in question is laid, was passed in pursuance of the powers contained in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, it is invalid and void as not being in the terms of the act. Mr. Hamersley, on behalf of the prosecution, alleges that the by-law was passed in pursuance of the provisions contained in the Vancouver Incorporation Act, 1900, section 125, sub-section 19. It would appear that the section applied to Sunday observance only, but if a construction of a more liberal interpretation, I think parliament never intended to give authority to the municipality to deal with any particular class of stores to the exclusion of others. The act in question says stores and places of business, "not as in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, or in any class or classes of shops, etc. The by-law would operate as against all stores of business, and not against a certain class only. The by-law is partial and unequal in its operation as between different classes, and on that ground cannot be sustained. Regina vs. Levi, 1890, 30, Dalt., R. 403; Crews vs. Johnson, 1898, W. B. Q., at p. 90. The Shops Regulations Act, 1900, was by the Shops Regulation Act Amendment Act, 1901, section 15, declared to apply to the city of Vancouver, and this latter act received the Royal assent on the 11th of May, 1901. The by-law in question was published on August 1st, 1900, when the Shops Regulation Act applied to the City of Vancouver. The Shops Regulation Act, 1900, gives the city council authority to pass by-laws for closing any class of shops during certain hours, but such a by-law can only be passed on an application of at least three-fourths of the occupiers of shops of the class to which the application relates; that is, the by-law can only be passed at the request of those to whom it relates. Under said sub-section 19, of section 125, no such request is necessary for the purpose of enabling the council to initiate and pass such by-law. I think that the provisions of sub-section 19, of section 125, of the Vancouver Incorporation Act, insofar as it may be authority for the by-law in question, and the provisions of the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, are clearly inconsistent, and of necessity there is an implied repeal of such inconsistent provisions of said sub-section 19 of section 125. See Beal's Canadian Rules of Legal Interpretation, pages 220 and 221. I am clearly of the opinion that the by-law is, for the above reasons, void. I dismiss the information.

Leave of absence, with permission to travel abroad, has been granted as follows: Lieut. T. P. Patton, 5th Regiment C. A., from August 11th, 1904, to February 10th, 1905.

The following men have been engaged for three years' further service: Bandmen W. J. Douglas, E. Kaslovski, S. Driscoll, F. Munster, August 24th.

The following men, having been attested, will be taken on the strength of the regiment from the date hereafter mentioned and will assume the regimental No. opposite their respective names: Bandmen M. Gumbert and C. Wilson, August 24th.

Capt. D. B. McConnan, having returned from leave, will resume his duties as adjutant.

A regimental board of inquiry will be held on a date to be fixed by the president to report on losses sustained by No. 2 company in the fire of August 9th; President, Capt. A. W. Currie; members, Lieut. J. H. Sweet, Lieut. C. M. Roberts. The board will be assembled by the president at the earliest convenient date and proceedings forwarded the officer commanding.

Officers commanding companies may obtain their service rolls by applying to the adjutant.

By order. (Signed) D. B. M'CONNAN, Capt., Adjutant.

The postmaster may be unable to send on a button, but he can catch up a quarrel.

Police Magistrate Williams, of Vancouver, on Saturday pronounced judgment in the case of F. C. Goldberg, charged with breaking the Early Closing By-Law. He quoted many authorities dealing with the subject, and said that after listening to arguments on both sides he concluded the by-law null and void and dismissed the information.

It was on August 25th that Mr. Goldberg was accused of selling a pair of shoes and a razor stop after 6 o'clock in the evening and before 5 o'clock in the morning, on a date prior to a Sunday or a holiday. The case was tried in the police court, A. St. G. Hamersley, K. C., conducting the defence of the by-law, for the affair was made a test case, and Mr. Goldberg's defence, conducted by J. Edward Bird, resulted into an attack on the by-law. Magistrate Williams reserved judgment in the case until Saturday. The judgment follows:

It is admitted by both the counsel for the prosecution and for the defence that if by-law 330, under which the information in question is laid, was passed in pursuance of the powers contained in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, it is invalid and void as not being in the terms of the act. Mr. Hamersley, on behalf of the prosecution, alleges that the by-law was passed in pursuance of the provisions contained in the Vancouver Incorporation Act, 1900, section 125, sub-section 19. It would appear that the section applied to Sunday observance only, but if a construction of a more liberal interpretation, I think parliament never intended to give authority to the municipality to deal with any particular class of stores to the exclusion of others. The act in question says stores and places of business, "not as in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, or in any class or classes of shops, etc. The by-law would operate as against all stores of business, and not against a certain class only. The by-law is partial and unequal in its operation as between different classes, and on that ground cannot be sustained. Regina vs. Levi, 1890, 30, Dalt., R. 403; Crews vs. Johnson, 1898, W. B. Q., at p. 90. The Shops Regulations Act, 1900, was by the Shops Regulation Act Amendment Act, 1901, section 15, declared to apply to the city of Vancouver, and this latter act received the Royal assent on the 11th of May, 1901. The by-law in question was published on August 1st, 1900, when the Shops Regulation Act applied to the City of Vancouver. The Shops Regulation Act, 1900, gives the city council authority to pass by-laws for closing any class of shops during certain hours, but such a by-law can only be passed on an application of at least three-fourths of the occupiers of shops of the class to which the application relates; that is, the by-law can only be passed at the request of those to whom it relates. Under said sub-section 19, of section 125, no such request is necessary for the purpose of enabling the council to initiate and pass such by-law. I think that the provisions of sub-section 19, of section 125, of the Vancouver Incorporation Act, insofar as it may be authority for the by-law in question, and the provisions of the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, are clearly inconsistent, and of necessity there is an implied repeal of such inconsistent provisions of said sub-section 19 of section 125. See Beal's Canadian Rules of Legal Interpretation, pages 220 and 221. I am clearly of the opinion that the by-law is, for the above reasons, void. I dismiss the information.

Police Magistrate Williams, of Vancouver, on Saturday pronounced judgment in the case of F. C. Goldberg, charged with breaking the Early Closing By-Law. He quoted many authorities dealing with the subject, and said that after listening to arguments on both sides he concluded the by-law null and void and dismissed the information.

It was on August 25th that Mr. Goldberg was accused of selling a pair of shoes and a razor stop after 6 o'clock in the evening and before 5 o'clock in the morning, on a date prior to a Sunday or a holiday. The case was tried in the police court, A. St. G. Hamersley, K. C., conducting the defence of the by-law, for the affair was made a test case, and Mr. Goldberg's defence, conducted by J. Edward Bird, resulted into an attack on the by-law. Magistrate Williams reserved judgment in the case until Saturday. The judgment follows:

It is admitted by both the counsel for the prosecution and for the defence that if by-law 330, under which the information in question is laid, was passed in pursuance of the powers contained in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, it is invalid and void as not being in the terms of the act. Mr. Hamersley, on behalf of the prosecution, alleges that the by-law was passed in pursuance of the provisions contained in the Vancouver Incorporation Act, 1900, section 125, sub-section 19. It would appear that the section applied to Sunday observance only, but if a construction of a more liberal interpretation, I think parliament never intended to give authority to the municipality to deal with any particular class of stores to the exclusion of others. The act in question says stores and places of business, "not as in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, or in any class or classes of shops, etc. The by-law would operate as against all stores of business, and not against a certain class only. The by-law is partial and unequal in its operation as between different classes, and on that ground cannot be sustained. Regina vs. Levi, 1890, 30, Dalt., R. 403; Crews vs. Johnson, 1898, W. B. Q., at p. 90. The Shops Regulations Act, 1900, was by the Shops Regulation Act Amendment Act, 1901, section 15, declared to apply to the city of Vancouver, and this latter act received the Royal assent on the 11th of May, 1901. The by-law in question was published on August 1st, 1900, when the Shops Regulation Act applied to the City of Vancouver. The Shops Regulation Act, 1900, gives the city council authority to pass by-laws for closing any class of shops during certain hours, but such a by-law can only be passed on an application of at least three-fourths of the occupiers of shops of the class to which the application relates; that is, the by-law can only be passed at the request of those to whom it relates. Under said sub-section 19, of section 125, no such request is necessary for the purpose of enabling the council to initiate and pass such by-law. I think that the provisions of sub-section 19, of section 125, of the Vancouver Incorporation Act, insofar as it may be authority for the by-law in question, and the provisions of the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, are clearly inconsistent, and of necessity there is an implied repeal of such inconsistent provisions of said sub-section 19 of section 125. See Beal's Canadian Rules of Legal Interpretation, pages 220 and 221. I am clearly of the opinion that the by-law is, for the above reasons, void. I dismiss the information.

Police Magistrate Williams, of Vancouver, on Saturday pronounced judgment in the case of F. C. Goldberg, charged with breaking the Early Closing By-Law. He quoted many authorities dealing with the subject, and said that after listening to arguments on both sides he concluded the by-law null and void and dismissed the information.

It was on August 25th that Mr. Goldberg was accused of selling a pair of shoes and a razor stop after 6 o'clock in the evening and before 5 o'clock in the morning, on a date prior to a Sunday or a holiday. The case was tried in the police court, A. St. G. Hamersley, K. C., conducting the defence of the by-law, for the affair was made a test case, and Mr. Goldberg's defence, conducted by J. Edward Bird, resulted into an attack on the by-law. Magistrate Williams reserved judgment in the case until Saturday. The judgment follows:

It is admitted by both the counsel for the prosecution and for the defence that if by-law 330, under which the information in question is laid, was passed in pursuance of the powers contained in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, it is invalid and void as not being in the terms of the act. Mr. Hamersley, on behalf of the prosecution, alleges that the by-law was passed in pursuance of the provisions contained in the Vancouver Incorporation Act, 1900, section 125, sub-section 19. It would appear that the section applied to Sunday observance only, but if a construction of a more liberal interpretation, I think parliament never intended to give authority to the municipality to deal with any particular class of stores to the exclusion of others. The act in question says stores and places of business, "not as in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, or in any class or classes of shops, etc. The by-law would operate as against all stores of business, and not against a certain class only. The by-law is partial and unequal in its operation as between different classes, and on that ground cannot be sustained. Regina vs. Levi, 1890, 30, Dalt., R. 403; Crews vs. Johnson, 1898, W. B. Q., at p. 90. The Shops Regulations Act, 1900, was by the Shops Regulation Act Amendment Act, 1901, section 15, declared to apply to the city of Vancouver, and this latter act received the Royal assent on the 11th of May, 1901. The by-law in question was published on August 1st, 1900, when the Shops Regulation Act applied to the City of Vancouver. The Shops Regulation Act, 1900, gives the city council authority to pass by-laws for closing any class of shops during certain hours, but such a by-law can only be passed on an application of at least three-fourths of the occupiers of shops of the class to which the application relates; that is, the by-law can only be passed at the request of those to whom it relates. Under said sub-section 19, of section 125, no such request is necessary for the purpose of enabling the council to initiate and pass such by-law. I think that the provisions of sub-section 19, of section 125, of the Vancouver Incorporation Act, insofar as it may be authority for the by-law in question, and the provisions of the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, are clearly inconsistent, and of necessity there is an implied repeal of such inconsistent provisions of said sub-section 19 of section 125. See Beal's Canadian Rules of Legal Interpretation, pages 220 and 221. I am clearly of the opinion that the by-law is, for the above reasons, void. I dismiss the information.

Police Magistrate Williams, of Vancouver, on Saturday pronounced judgment in the case of F. C. Goldberg, charged with breaking the Early Closing By-Law. He quoted many authorities dealing with the subject, and said that after listening to arguments on both sides he concluded the by-law null and void and dismissed the information.

Police Magistrate Williams, of Vancouver, on Saturday pronounced judgment in the case of F. C. Goldberg, charged with breaking the Early Closing By-Law. He quoted many authorities dealing with the subject, and said that after listening to arguments on both sides he concluded the by-law null and void and dismissed the information.

It was on August 25th that Mr. Goldberg was accused of selling a pair of shoes and a razor stop after 6 o'clock in the evening and before 5 o'clock in the morning, on a date prior to a Sunday or a holiday. The case was tried in the police court, A. St. G. Hamersley, K. C., conducting the defence of the by-law, for the affair was made a test case, and Mr. Goldberg's defence, conducted by J. Edward Bird, resulted into an attack on the by-law. Magistrate Williams reserved judgment in the case until Saturday. The judgment follows:

It is admitted by both the counsel for the prosecution and for the defence that if by-law 330, under which the information in question is laid, was passed in pursuance of the powers contained in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, it is invalid and void as not being in the terms of the act. Mr. Hamersley, on behalf of the prosecution, alleges that the by-law was passed in pursuance of the provisions contained in the Vancouver Incorporation Act, 1900, section 125, sub-section 19. It would appear that the section applied to Sunday observance only, but if a construction of a more liberal interpretation, I think parliament never intended to give authority to the municipality to deal with any particular class of stores to the exclusion of others. The act in question says stores and places of business, "not as in the Shops Regulation Act, 1900, or in any class or classes of shops, etc. The by-law would operate as against all stores of business, and not against a certain class only. The by-law is partial and unequal in its operation as between different classes, and on that ground cannot be sustained. Regina vs. Levi, 1890, 30, Dalt., R. 403; Crews vs. Johnson, 1898, W. B. Q., at p. 90. The Shops Regulations Act, 1900, was by the Shops Regulation Act Amendment Act, 1901, section 15, declared to apply to the city of Vancouver