strated, to be far superior in value to every thing we should have acquired by that treaty \*.

The Examiner is mightily for arguing from fatts. Though I shall not confine myself wholly to this method, I shall attempt doing it, so far as to verify his own words, viz. A dispassionate reader, when surnished with the proper fatts, may form a system for himself. By his temper he may counterast the passions, and supply the deficiencies of his author; and whilst he is enabled to correct his mistakes, he will sometimes deduce from his fatts a chain of consequences, which may possibly have escaped the writer, who originally surnished him with the materials of speculation †.

Let us for a while range our thoughts under the following heads, TRADE in general, our WEST-INDIAN in particular, our CONTINENTAL COLO-NIES, CANADA, GUADALOUPE.

A mistake in the foundation, runs through the whole superstructure; and if the first principles are not right, the inferences, tho' drawn ever so fairly, will prove inconclusive. It is of consequence then, that, in treating of any particular branches of commerce, we should have just conceptions of TRADE in general.

Trade, as a mean, is the chief support of our independency. Great Britain is situated near a nation, whose ambition, if seconded by a sufficient power, would soon prove satal to our religion and

li

<sup>\*</sup> See p. 17, 56, 67. † P. 7.