

Government telling them what to do or what is good for them. They want the Government to reflect the thinking of the people in its administration.

The point was raised whether the service was bad. Was the service bad? That is relevant to this question. The Solicitor General said that it was not bad. I will refer to his exact words so that he will not raise another point of order. He said:

Yes, I think we have been very well served by Commissioner Simmons of the RCMP.

The Commissioner has done an excellent job. Then the Hon. Member for Vancouver South asked him whether the security service had been working as a part of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police. The Minister said: "Yes, that is so."

There is another point. The Hon. Solicitor General is saying that we cannot have one head and two branches. Look at his own Government. There are Ministers with two Departments.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Guilbault):** Order, please. This is the second time the Chair has tried to remind the Hon. Member to speak to the clauses which we are studying. Would the Hon. Member try to abide by report stage rules, that is, to be relevant?

**Mr. Hnatyshyn:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. We are dealing with provisions now which in effect ask for the deletion of the clause that sets up a civilian intelligence agency. I think the debate on the part of many people on this side of the House has been extremely germane. In fact, we are the only ones who are speaking on this legislation. A civilian intelligence agency is the route being taken by the Government. We are supporting the proposition which will delete the provisions establishing the civilian agency. We are saying that the Government has made a mistake. As the Hon. Member for Bow River (Mr. Taylor) has said so eloquently, we think there is a better way. We are trying to explain this by virtue of this new method of delivery of a message to the Government—a cross between a courier pigeon and a woodpecker. I think that is the most appropriate way to deal with the Government.

**Mr. Kaplan:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on the same point of order. The Hon. Member referred to report stage in the disposition of a Bill as a new method of sending a message to the Government. I think that is an inappropriate observation. Report stage of a Bill is a very well established procedure. The rules are very clear. For the Hon. Member to argue that it is a new way of being able to send messages to the Government shows his basic misunderstanding of what this stage of the Bill is all about.

I support the Chair in its observation that Hon. Members should stick to the clauses to which amendments have been moved, and to the amendments.

**Mr. Taylor:** Mr. Speaker, I rise on the point of order. I fully understand report stage. Report stage is simply a device which takes the place of Committee of the Whole. The Bill is sent to committee. All Members cannot be there, so we come back to report to the House on the committee's work. That is what

report stage is. That is exactly what we are doing. If this hurts the Solicitor General so much, why does he not change it so that he will not be hurt by the truth?

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Guilbault):** For a third time the Chair will ask the Hon. Member who has the floor to abide by the rules of report stage, please.

**Mr. Taylor:** That is exactly what I am doing, Mr. Speaker. I am speaking on report stage.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Guilbault):** Order, please. The Chair is of the opinion that this is not what the Hon. Member is doing. The Chair will quote a Standing Order which may bring the Hon. Member back to his senses. It is Standing Order 38(2).

**Some Hon. Members:** Oh, oh!

**Mr. Hnatyshyn:** Order.

**Mr. Taylor:** On a point of order, Mr. Speaker—

**Mr. Hnatyshyn:** Withdraw that, that is hardly appropriate.

**Mr. Taylor:** On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. You are supposed to protect the rights of all Members, not just the Liberals.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Guilbault):** Order, please. It reads:

The Speaker or the Chairman, after having called the attention of the House, or of the Committee, to the conduct of a Member who persists in irrelevance, or repetition, may direct the Member to discontinue his or her speech, and if then the Member still continues to speak, the Speaker shall name the Member or, if in Committee, the Chairman shall report the Member to the House.

The Hon. Member for Bow River (Mr. Taylor) has the floor.

**Mr. Taylor:** Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Let me deal with another aspect of the Security Intelligence Service which is very relevant. That service should not have the opportunity of getting spies and subversive people in it. That is an important item of the intelligence service. What protection is there here in relation to the recruitment of spies as compared with that of the RCMP? A person joins the RCMP as a recruit, as a constable. He goes through many years of service. He would have to be very, very persistent to remain in there as a fifth columnist. However, in the civilian service one could be hired at any time. Consequently, there is no real check and balance in keeping fifth columnists out of the intelligence service when we have a civilian agency.

I should like to make another point. The RCMP has two separate methods of training its people. It trains those in the police service who will enforce the law, and it trains those who are in the intelligence service. They are not the same service. It is not the same training but they are trained. Even with a civilian service we will have to train people. We cannot hire them right out of university into the intelligence service. It takes a lot of work and it takes a lot of time. Consequently, as