

- (3) Section 106-13. The word "unfit" lends no clarity to the definition. It is doubted that this committee would be able to place themselves in the position to judge if a person is unfit to own or possess a firearm. Without a previous medical or mental history, even a doctor will not pass a verdict on a person's fitness. He would certainly not guarantee mental stability or a degree of fitness even with a medical examination. We object to this responsibility, of deciding fitness or unfitness, being forced on a proposed guarantor or given to a local registrar of firearms who would certainly not be qualified to make this decision.
- (4) We question Section 106-8-1(e) and the ability of Governor in Council to decide the fitness of a 13 year old deaf-mute who meets the standards of our organization or to decide what standards of physical or mental ability must be met to apply for permits.
- (5) There is a deep concern for Section 106-8-1(f) by our organizations. Recent news releases have named sums of \$25.00 to \$30.00 as proposed fees. We ask your committee to consider young people who spend approximately \$60.00 to achieve Junior Rifle and Hunter Safety training and reasonable proficiency in target shooting, in their first year. The purchase of a reasonable target rifle is in excess of \$100.00. This with the proposed registration fee would mean a deterrent cost factor of nearly \$200.00. We object strenuously to the proposed cost and the proposed registration which would have little or no effect on