"Decisions of the General Assembly on questions relating to reports and petitions concerning the Territory of South West Africa shall be regarded as important questions within the meaning of Article 18, paragraph 2, of the Charter of the United Nations"?

(b) If this interpretation of the advisory opinion of the Court is not correct, what voting procedure should be followed by the General Assembly in taking decisions on reports and petitions concerning the Territory of South West Africa?



Baying resard to the opinion of the Intermational Court of Justice that "the degree of supervision to be exercised by the General Assembly should not ... exceed that which applied under the Mandates System, and should conform as far as possible to the procedure followed in this respect by the Council of the league of Nations" and that "these observations are particularly applicable to ennual reports and petitions".

Having adoution, by resolution (14) of 11 October 1954, a special rule F on the voling procedure to be followed by the General Assembly in taking decisions on reports and petitions con-

Having adopted this rule in a desire "to apply, as far as possible, and pending the conclusion of an agreement between the United Mations and the Union of South Africa, the procedure followed in that respect by the Council of the League of Metions",

Considering that some elucidation of the advisory opinion is desirable.

Submits the following questions to the international Court of Justice with a request for an

(a) Is the following rule on the woling proceeding to be followed by the General Assembly a correct interpretation of the advisory opinion of the interpretation of the Justice of 11 July 1950: