

Maritime Code
GOVERNMENT ORDERS

Messrs.

Clermont	Holt (Mrs.)	McRae
Comtois	Hopkins	Munro
Condon	Isabelle	(Hamilton East)
Corriveau	Joyal	Nicholson (Miss)
Cyr	Kaplan	O'Connell
Daudlin	Lachance	Olivier
De Bané	Lajoie	Ouellet
Demers	Lalonde	Parent
Dionne	Landers	Pearsall
(Northumberland- Miramichi)	Lang	Penner
Douglas	Langlois	Philbrook
(Bruce-Grey)	Laniel	Pinard
Drury	Lapointe	Poulin
Dupont	Leblanc	Railton
Dupras	(Laurier)	Raines
Duquet	LeBlanc	Reid
Ethier	(Westmorland-Kent)	Roberts
Fleming	Lee	Robinson
Flynn	Lefebvre	Rooney
Foster	Lessard	Roy
Fox	Loiselle	(Timmins)
Francis	(Saint-Henri)	Roy
Gauthier	Lumley	(Laval)
(Ottawa-Vanier)	MacDonald	Sauvé (Mrs.)
Gendron	(Cardigan)	Sharp
Gillespie	Macdonald	Smith
Goodale	(Rosedale)	(Saint-Jean)
Goyer	MacEachen	Stanbury
Guay	MacFarlane	Stollery
(St. Boniface)	MacGuigan	Tessier
Guay	Maine	Trudeau
(Lévis)	Marceau	Trudel
Guilbault	Marchand	Turner
Harquail	(Langelier)	Watson
Herbert	Martín	Yanakis
	Mclsaac	Young—112.

● (1710)

Mr. Speaker: I declare the amendment lost. Motion No. 3 having previously been ordered to be grouped with motion No. 2 for the purpose of voting has been entered with the same result as motion No. 2. Motion No. 5 is no longer with us. Therefore, the question is on motion No. 7. Shall motion No. 7 carry?

Some hon. Members: On division.

Motion No. 7 (Mr. Forrestall) negated.

● (1720)

**PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT
MOTION**

[English]

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the hon. member for Windsor West (Mr. Gray)—Immigration—Admittance of members of Palestinian Liberation Organization to Habitat conference—Government position; the hon. member for Central Nova (Mr. MacKay)—Labour Conditions—Reason for refusal to permit air line employees to prosecute Air Canada; the hon. member for Halifax-East Hants (Mr. McCleave)—Transport—Request for assurance of full use of east coast ports.

[English]

MARITIME CODE ACT

MEASURE TO PROVIDE A MARITIME CODE FOR CANADA

The House resumed consideration of Bill C-61, to provide a maritime code for Canada, to amend the Canada Shipping Act and other acts in consequence thereof and to enact other consequential or related provisions, as reported (with amendments) from the Standing Committee on Transport and Communications.

● (1730)

Mr. Speaker: I understand that motion No. 9 was carried. I further understand there is some concern about a printing error in the bill that needs to be cleared up before the motion for concurrence can be put.

Mr. Ralph E. Goodale (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): That is correct, Mr. Speaker. It is a very simple matter of a printing error. I think there is general agreement in the House about it.

The particular clause in question is clause BII-24 in the third schedule to the bill. It originally appeared on pages 59 and 60 of the first reading edition of Bill C-61. In committee we moved to remove line 41 on page 59 and lines 1 and 2 on page 60, substituting the very simple phrase "shall be established at a place designated in Canada by the minister". That was the amendment. This is clearly recorded in the February 26 edition of *Votes and Proceedings*. Unfortunately when the bill appeared in its reported stage and reprinted, clause BII-24 contained an additional phrase at the end reading "described in the schedule to the National Capital Act". That clearly is not what was in our amendment. *Votes and Proceedings* clearly record the decision taken. That additional phrase was simply added in error. I draw that to the attention of the House. It should be deleted upon reprinting.

Mr. Forrestall: Mr. Speaker, the observations of the parliamentary secretary are quite correct. We agree with that.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, this was drawn to our attention earlier. The comment made by the parliamentary secretary is quite correct, namely, that what the committee did is properly recorded in *Votes and Proceedings* of February 26 at page 1055. I believe that under Standing Order 84 the parliamentary counsel can reprint the bill as corrected.

Mr. Speaker: It is my understanding, from looking at the bill before me, that the French text did not suffer from the same disability. Therefore the order will go that the bill in being reprinted between the conclusion of the report stage and third reading stage will take note of that difficulty, and the printing error will be corrected.

Hon. Otto E. Lang (Minister of Transport) moved that the bill be concurred in.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?