

THE SENATE

THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORT AND COMMUNICATIONS

EVIDENCE

THURSDAY, May 20, 1965.

The Standing Committee on Transport and Communications, to which was referred Bill S-7, respecting Interprovincial Pipe Line Company, met this day at 10 a.m.

Senator A. K. HUGESSEN in the Chair.

The CHAIRMAN: We have a quorum. I call the meeting to order. We have two bills before us to consider this morning, Bill S-5, respecting Great Northern Railway Company and Great Northern Pacific and Burlington Lines Incorporated, and Bill S-7, respecting Interprovincial Pipe Line Company.

I suggest that, as we dealt with the second bill during the last session, we should take it first this time and perhaps we can dispose of it quickly.

The committee agreed that a verbatim report be made of the committee's proceedings on the bills.

The committee agreed to report, recommending that authority be granted for the printing of 800 copies in English and 300 copies in French of the committee's proceedings on the bills.

The CHAIRMAN: Concerning Bill S-7, respecting Interprovincial Pipe Line Company, I have a letter from our Law Clerk, addressed to myself, and dated the 12th instant:

In my opinion this bill is in proper legal form and I have no suggestions to make for its amendment.

The bill stands in the name of Senator Molson. I do not think he is here today. The people who are appearing in support of this bill are Mr. T. S. Johnston, President of the Interprovincial Pipe Line Company; Mr. J. Blight, Secretary-Treasurer, and Mr. R. B. Burgess, Q.C., Parliamentary Counsel.

I understand, Mr. Burgess, it is you who will make the representations.

Mr. R. B. Burgess, Q.C., Parliamentary Counsel, Interprovincial Pipe Line Company: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I will open.

The CHAIRMAN: The committee will remember that we considered this bill less than a year ago, at least, a bill similar to this. We passed it.

Senator CROLL: "Similar"? It is the exact bill, is it not?

The CHAIRMAN: I was about to ask Mr. Burgess if this is the exact bill, without any word changed.

Mr. BURGESS: Yes, that is true. We have elaborated a little on the explanation to make it somewhat clearer.

Mr. HOPKINS: The explanatory notes.

The CHAIRMAN: You have brought the explanatory notes up to date. Does the committee wish to hear any further evidence on this?

Hon. SENATORS: No.