the opportunity of inspection and should have detected the deficiencies—e.g., condition of fences and buildings etc. Judgment for the plaintiff for \$2,805 and costs. S. G. McKay, K.C., for the plaintiff. J. Marshall, for the defendants.

RE BROOM-BOYD, C.-OCT. 21.

Police Magistrate—Jurisdiction—Petty Trespass Act, R.S.O. 1914 ch. 111, sec. 2.]—An application by one Broom to prohibit proceedings in a Police Court on a charge that the applicant did, contrary to law, trespass upon the premises of Mrs. McIntyre. The Chancellor, in a brief written judgment, said that the charge appeared to be based upon the Petty Trespass Act, R.S.O. 1914 ch. 111, sec. 2, and was one over which the Police Magistrate had jurisdiction. This was the sole question, and there was no ground for interfering on the ground of want of jurisdiction. No order. The applicant in person. No one contra.