

The report of the Committee on By-laws and Legislation was presented by Mr. Henry Watters. It dealt entirely with individual applications and granting of certificates.

In speaking to the report, Mr. Watters referred to an application from a graduate of the college residing in St. John, N.B., for a diploma. According to the pharmacy law of the province, diplomas can be given only to those graduates who have served the required period of apprenticeship with an Ontario chemist, and, accordingly, the application of the graduate in St. John could not be granted. Mr. Watters expressed the opinion that it would be in the interests of the college if the law were so changed as to allow the conferring of diplomas on graduates who had served their apprenticeship with duly recognized chemists and druggists anywhere. The suggestion was regarded by the members with approval.

The report was adopted, and the council adjourned until ten o'clock on Thursday morning.

THURSDAY'S BUSINESS.

Thursday morning was devoted to committee work, and when the council convened after lunch the registrar read a communication from the *Pharmaceutical Journal* offering to publish the official minutes in full in the *Journal* at a fixed figure. The matter was referred to the Finance Committee to report on.

Mr. G. E. Gibbard, president of the Retail Druggists' Association, addressed the council in the interests of the association. He complained of apathy on the part of the members, and declared that a more active and practical sympathy must be shown by the druggists as a body if the association was to succeed in the work it had in hand. He asked that the members of the council would interest themselves individually in their respective districts in advocating the claims of the society.

Mr. Watters asked for more definite information as to the work of the association, so that they could speak thereon intelligently.

Mr. Gibbard replied that the work was extensive and hard to particularize. He might point out, though, that in some thirty-five districts price cutting had been stopped by their efforts; in others it had been prevented, and in only one or two had it been started since they began operations. But for the work of the association he believed all of Western Ontario would to-day be suffering from very widespread and ruinous price cutting.

Mr. W. A. Karn cordially endorsed what Mr. Gibbard had said, and declared that in his district much good had resulted from the work. The great thing now was to get the support and co-operation of the manufacturers and wholesalers, and in three months all cutting would be put an end to.

Mr. Roberts endorsed the previous

speaker, after which an emphatic resolution of sympathy with and confidence in the association was unanimously adopted on the motion of Messrs. Karn and Spackman.

Mr. Roberts then moved his resolution, of which notice had been given the previous day, to grant a rebate of \$3 to all members of the college paying their fees by May 1st. Messrs. Karn, Watters, and Daniels approved of the proposal in the abstract, but thought it better to defer action until the mortgage debt was paid off. Mr. Watters added that he deemed it unwise to advocate such a change on the eve of an election. Mr. McKee suggested that if the council had money to spare it might be devoted to the work of the Infringement Committee. Finally the matter was referred to the Finance Committee to report upon.

The report of the Committee on Education was presented by Mr. Daniel. It dealt with the renewal of the contracts with the members of the faculty, and proposed that the staff be re-engaged at the following salaries: Prof. Heebner, \$1,900, and \$300 additional for his services as dean; Prof. Scott, \$1,000; Prof. Chambers, \$1,000; and Prof. Fotheringham, \$900. This was an advance of \$200 for Prof. Heebner, \$150 for Dr. Chambers, and a reduction of \$200 in Prof. Scott's stipend, leaving Dr. Fotheringham as before. Mr. Daniel explained that the re-adjustment was based upon the number of hours each professor gave to the work of the college, and pointed out that the proposed reduction in Dr. Scott's salary was on account of the fact that he had originally received \$200 additional for assisting in the duties of the dean's office when Prof. Heebner had first come to the college, and that after the latter gentleman had taken full control the added amount had never been deducted.

The council went into committee of the whole to consider the report, when Mr. Watters moved in amendment that the figures be: Prof. Heebner, \$2,000, as now; Prof. Scott, \$1,100; Prof. Chambers, \$1,000; and Prof. Fotheringham, \$900.

A lengthy discussion followed, in which every member of the council who took part spoke in the highest terms of the members of the staff, their ability as lecturers and demonstrators, and their devotion to the interests of the college. Some diversity of opinion was shown as to the relative importance of the work of the lecturers as compared with that of the demonstrators; Mr. Daniel contending that the latter was as important and valuable as the former, but President Mackenzie upheld the opposite view, and urged that consequently no change from the existing salaries was necessary. He moved in amendment to the amendment that the stipends remain as hitherto with the exception of Dr. Scott's, which he proposed to have reduced to \$1,100, and Dr. Chambers' raised to \$950. Mr. Daniel made another ener-

getic appeal for the adoption of his report, in the course of which he remarked that he thought it was a downright shame to pay a man what he didn't earn, or not to pay him what he did earn.

After still further discussion Mr. Mackenzie withdrew his amendment and Mr. Watters carried his by a vote of six to three, the clause being adopted as amended on the same division. The balance of the committee's report was unimportant, and was carried without debate. Upon the council resuming and the report being presented for final action, Mr. Watters moved its adoption as amended. Mr. Daniel, in amendment, moved that the dean's salary be increased to \$2,100, viz., \$1,800 as professor and \$300 as dean. This was negatived with very little discussion on Messrs. Daniel and Dickey supporting it, and the report as amended was finally adopted, after which the council adjourned for the day.

THE LAST DAY.

On Friday morning, after preliminaries had been disposed of, the Committee on Education presented report No. 2, which approved of the proposal from the examining board to raise the standard of matriculation and to institute a two years' course; it also recommended various changes and repairs round the college buildings, among other things the construction of a bicycle stable, and the report was approved.

The chairman of the Infringement Committee, Mr. W. A. Karn, then presented an important and interesting report. It stated that during the past six months every effort had been made to enforce the law and prevent or punish infractions thereof. Some three hundred cases had been dealt with all over the province, except just around Kingston and in the vicinity of Thessalon. Where warnings had not been sufficient cases had been carried into court, and, in all, nineteen convictions had been secured, with fines amounting to \$380, of which \$350 had actually been paid. The convictions were as follows:

A. C. Hastings, Toronto.
Reuben A. Mason, Markham.
E. C. Radley, Chatham.
Frank Shepard, Mount Brydges.
Robert Tuttle, Toronto.
Walter Spinks, Toronto.
Fred Krug, Tavistock.
W. J. Crawford, Ripley.
John Walker, Tiverton.
Irwin Groh, Shallow Lake.
J. A. Courtice, Havelock.
E. B. Miller, Chatham.
J. W. Fish, Otterville.
R. E. Moore, Lion's Head.
Barrow Bay Lumber Co., Barrow Bay.
E. C. Wicher, Warton.
T. W. Andrews, Otterville.
W. W. Porte, Brighton.
L. P. Clement, Woodstock.

In every case a fine of \$20 and costs was imposed. In addition to the revenue