J. B. Brohran --- Editor.

"Eunngelical Cruth--Apostolic Order."

W. Gossip -- Publisher.

### MALLFAZ, ZOVA SCOULA, SATTRUAY, ITZE 20, 1884. L. VIII.

LBB out

#### Calendar.

CALENDAR WITH LESSUNS.		
Ditte	MORNING.	EVENING.
es il Trin. Sunday *	The 1 Mate. 3 Jub. 16 Mark 12	Gen. 18,1John 5 Job 17,1812 Cor. 9 ————————————————————————————————————
18/1L1		22 11 24 25 13
	20,27 Loke 1	

s Athanasian Creed to be used.

oper Lessons for St. Barnahas, Norn. Zecius, 10, Acta 16, Sechas. 13, Acta 15 to verse 85.

#### Pottry.

PAPAL BOME.

en hundred vests of bestific life ided, Luther's living soul hath breathed. the last thunder-bolt of truth he hurl'd h hand, how fearless ! at the heart of Rome. re, in that world where ransum'd minds repose, re priests, sud prophets, and the kings of faith gether'd into givry, and await aliac life blast which shall rouse the dead, monk of Wittemberg his Master sees worships, waiting for his destined crown. tath the world from sacerdotal chains are, and prompted by the Spirit's love midance, soul and spring of saving truth. of all churches, and the lible's Lord ? we slumber : and a carnal rest seround us lets the chain of Rome lisdirk coil, with most consummate exto ichood. Bloated with our self-esteem, monlied with intellectual might, elu Zion ero wa; while a Foo seless, dragon-cyed, and unappeased, fal sa ever, watches for the prey, n weakness for iler fang prepares.

want a Luther, with a dreadless voice stear modern antichrist, and face so, with all its roll'd array rshali'd doctrines or of muster'd lies. ght websire the licart of blushless Rome. are brave England's execuating voice at the priesthood to her dens recoil'd: pope, and popery, with a paley amit, ared by scripture, would for ever shrink and gloom to couvents, and to colls, hy nature, and by freemen harl'd e from virtue's and from reason's throne.

stour protest were as brave and pute. is and martyrs sent, in olden time, heir deep bearts against the Man of Sin. tis light from fiames where Ridley died, mer suffer'd bis finmortal denth, sch of England would her bist'ry read. der sashe read, with eye of prayer: ther lizhe her lethargy awoko sing, like a giant from his sleep ted, back the Homish chain would fall fil. from her limbs of glory dash'd or ! Then, seein that trumpet-cry, ide-roice magnanimously bold, oly of a pation's truthful mind en exclud, would once more be heard ral thunder round the seven-hill'd Seat christ, in peals of dauntiers power,ce with Home, All Rome make peace with God. Rec. R. Montgomery.

# Religious Miscellany.

## RELIGION OF THE CHINESE

и паче весп. 23 2 na· theists. To them there are gods many. equional capacity, there does not appear over ice any recognition of the one only true shood in us stead, at various times and in ms, almost all those false gods, or others , which have been and are worshipped by า ธระเกต 4

hiplicity of deified objects has given rise, o Chineso, to a great variety of religious exemunica, and has led to the establishmay diverse sects and creeds. To enumo-testibe all these,—gods, rites, ceremonies, creeds,—would require many volumes; ea a tolerably full sketch, would occupy t

many pages, and could hardly fail of being uninter-

esting to the general reader.

If anybody has leisure and inclination to pursue this subject, in all its dark and misty details, let such take up the mythologies of Egypt, Greece, Rome, and other ancient pagan nations, and only fancy them to be Chinese, and he will have something very like what has been, or is now extant, among the

millions of the Middle Kingdom.

The perfect harmony and the perfect unity which run through all the books of both the Old and New Testaments,—the productions of many writers of many ages,—have been noticed often as strong evidence of their high and common origin. No such harmony and no such unity pervade the theological writings of pagan nations. In these writings, however, you have points of resemblance, proving clearly enough that they have all come, not by hely inspiration, but by a corrupt spirit, from the heart of

Some of the early Jesuit missionaries are said to have been sorely grieved, on observing the temple service of the Chinese Budhists, because in it they saw their own ceremonies most accurately exhibited by 'these bigoted idolaters.' There is truly a very close resemblance to Romanism in the Budhism of China; and well might the Jesuit observe this and be grieved thereat. To say nothing of internal feelings and secret conduct, this resomblance is observable in many things external and public; to wit, in their priests, nuns, temples, alters, lighted candles, dress, processions and images.

There are other Chinese sects besides the Budhists, which have also their representatives in Christendom. There are, among the multitudes of the Middle Kingdom, atheists, pantheists, and such like, who no doubt could be received in good standing and fellowship, in religious matters, by various classes of free-thinkers in the Western world.

China has not been, and is not now, without her Stoics, and Cynics, her Phariseos and Sadducees, her Skeptics, and Mystics, Materialists and Spiritualists, and I know not how many others. Idealism and Electicism, or their twin sisters, are well known by Chinese literati. Hegel, Bayle, and Emerson, and men of like genius, if thoroughly read in Chinese lore, could find their equals among the latitudinarians in this Empire.

The orthodox schools, now generally recognized by the Chinese, are three; the Confucian, the Rational, and the Budhistic In the Confucian school, almost all the literati are found. They are the men of China; and, in matters of religion, are proud, self-conceited, and indifferent. In the school of the Rationali-ts, are found those who, though making less protensions to learning than the Confucianists, are equally self-sufficient, and in religion quite as unconcorned and as fully satisfied with their nativo goodness. The Budhistic school includes within its pale, together with a few who are learned, the great masses of the illiterate. They are the religionists of the land, and are distinguished on account of their devotion to religious form and exremonios.

While multitudes are close and exclusive adherents to each of these three sects, there are yet not a few who, in profession, seem to stand aloof from them all : and yet others there are, and in considerable numbers, who go to the other extreme, and are at once Confucianists, Rationalists, and Budhists. The consequence of this is, as we might naturally suppose, that the religion of the Chinese, is a medley, or a congeries, in which are to be found all sorts of things most discimilar and heterogeneous.

nore, in passing, I would have fully note these three facts: the Chinese are not without religion, though they are, in matters of religion, without knowledge; and especially are they without any knowledge of their Creator.

Like the Hebrews, the Chinese have in their languago no term that exactly corresponds to our word religion. The three sects, the Confucianists, the Rationalists, and the Budhists, which, we have already noticed, are called san kian, literally the Three Teachings San is the common numeral three, and kidst means to teach, or the things that are taught,' namely, religious dectrines and dogmas, of any system of faith and practice recognized and maintained by the people.

Romanism they call Tien of u kinu, the 'Hea-

venly Lord's Religion.' Heavenly Lord is the hruse the Romanists use to translate our word God. Christianity as taught by Protestants, the Chinese call Yesu kiau, i. o. the Religion of Jesus.

Now, such as it is, the Chinese are not without religion. The land is full of it. It has great power, and is very costly; making for its support large demands on the time and resources of both the Government and the people. Besides the three great orthodox schools,—the San kiau,—they have many heterodox sects, of which more in the sequel.

But, to proceed to the second of the three facts, there is an essential difference between taking things simply on trust, as the Chinese do in all their religious matters, and receiving them on syidence as intelligent Christians do in all that pertains to their system of faith and practice. I say it with all reverence and deep grief, the Chinese know not what they worship. Nor is this all, or even the worst feature in their religious character; for they not only knew not what they worship, but they knew not what they believe.

It has been truly remarked of a great logician, that knowledge implies these three things: the firm belief, on sufficient grounds, of what is true. This is a lesson which the Chineso have yet to learn, in rogard to many essentials; and especially in regard to the great essential truth, the existence of an Eter-

Being thus without knowledge in regard to religious truth, their religious phraseology is low. It wants strength, precision, elevation. Their entire ignorance of Jehovah is a great gulf,—a broad and deep abyss, that reparates the people of China far from the nations of Christendom. Theirs is the very quintessence of ignorance, binding them down to their low estate, and laying deep the foundation of all sorts of skepticism and falso creeds.

Truth and falsehood, virtue and vice, sin and ho-liness, heaven and hell, gods and demons,—nay, even life and death, and many other familiar terms,
—when used by such a pagan people, who have no knowledge in religious matters, cenvey a sense very different from, and vastly inferior to what they do when employed by the Christian philosopher. All these terms, and many others in the mouth of the pagan, have no such strong and clear meaning in they have in the Christian's vocabulary.

Those who have been early and most carefully educated in the Holy Scriptures, and whose minds have been most deeply impressed with the great facts of revealed truth, best know how far-how infinitely far—short of the reality must be their highest conceptions of the Godhead. For who, by scarelling, can find out the Almighty.

If thus it is with you, kind reader, with the volume of inspiration in your hands, what must be the notions of deity and divine things entertained by the

Pagan mind?

It is scarcely possible—to me it seems utterly impossible—for those who from their infancy have been nurtured under the benign influences of our holy religion, to conceive of the deadening effects of paganism on the moral sense of these born and educated under its haleful power. In order adequately to comprehend these effects, one must for a long time have opportunity to observe the constant workings of idolatry, and to mark all its downward tendencies, darkening the understanding, blightening the intellect, and leading away the whole man into abject servitude, worse than Egyptian bondage.

Talk to a pagan Chinese of sin, of sin against God, and he stares at you, and is ready to exclaim, you mean? I n derer! I am not an incendiary! I have robbed no man! Where is there any sin in mo? And, as for God, where is the God that I have offended?

The Emperors, in their state prayers, offered in seasons of extraordinary distress, when famine and inundation are alread, sometimes speak of errors and sins committed in the management of the State; but to sin against a Holy and Divine Being is an idea foreign to all their thoughts.

Now the truth is simply this : The pagan Chinese does not know what sin is, in any proper sense of the term. He knows a distinction between right and wrong, between good and ovil, and what it is to sin against the State. But if you speak to him of sin against Jehovah, or against any holy and divino be-