

who are now allowed to trespass upon our rights, and who, instead of eking out a scanty subsistence by amateur conveyancing, should devote themselves to some such pursuit as their education may fit them for.

If we are right in this supposition, the simple question arises, how is this latent force to be called into action and brought to bear in the proper quarter? There may be many opinions how this may best be done; and we admit the difficulties of the position. But they certainly cannot be met by doing nothing. The Committee heretofore spoken of has done excellent work so far as it went, but seems to have dropped it at a point where a step further would have been desirable. We notice that nothing is said in Mr. Strathy's letter as to whether the Attorney-General was interviewed by the Benchers on the subject. We understand he was not. Possibly after considering the replies received from the profession it was not thought wise to do so, or there may have been some other good reason. But might it not be said that the Attorney-General is not to blame if the matter has not been definitely brought before him? If it had, it certainly would have been his duty, as head of the profession, to consider the matter, and, as we think, to take such action to protect the interests of his brethren as might seem proper—some such protection, for example, as is freely accorded to the medical profession, and to which we are as much entitled as they are. We must at present leave the matter at this point, and shall hope to hear from some of our readers who have devoted time and thought to this matter, and who may be able, as Mr. Strathy suggests, to make some practical suggestion likely to commend itself to our Provincial House.

An English newspaper recently stated that a Judge outside his Court is only a private gentleman, implying that a Judge can do no business except in Court or possibly at his office. An exchange says, however, that on several occasions Judges have exercised judicial functions with some curious surroundings. Mr. Justice Stephen was once hailed while driving in a cab and successfully applied to for an injunction; Mr. Justice Hawkins made the like order while strolling on Brighton pier; and Mr. Justice Wright did the same in a railway carriage. The oddest instance, however, was that of Shadwell, V.C., when, in the Long Vacation, he gave an interim injunction in an urgent matter while enjoying a swim.