

Q. After he was cautioned what happened?  
A. He made the statement. It was taken down on the typewriter just as Stanley dictated.

Q. What happened then?  
A. I had him sign the statement, I witnessed it and had him taken back to the guard room.

Defence

I object to the statement on the grounds that it was unfairly obtained.

Judge Advocate

You mean it was improperly obtained.

Prosecution continues examination of witness

Q. How was this statement obtained?  
A. It was quite voluntary, sir.

Q. Did you threaten Stanley?  
A. No sir. I pointed out to him that the statement was taken without fear, threat or favour. There was nothing I could do for him. I promised him nothing and he put that in the statement.

Witness cross-examined by the Defence

Q. Did you type out the Statement and have Stanley sign it?  
A. I typed out the caution. After I had typed it I read it over to him and he signed the caution. I put the paper back in the machine and I typed it as he dictated it to me. He signed the statement.

Q. He signed the caution first did he?  
A. Yes.

Q. Before he made the statement?  
A. Yes.

Q. Did you imply in any way that it would be far better for him to make a statement? That it would help him?  
A. I did not, sir. I asked him if he wished to make a statement.

Q. And he replied?  
A. He said he would make a clean breast of it.

Q. You did not imply that it would be better for him?  
A. I did imply that he had done the best thing after he had made the statement.

Q. That was after he had made the statement was it?  
A. Yes.

Judge Advocate

Q. Is the statement as it is written verbatim, just what he said to you or is it your arrangement of what he said?  
A. It is his interpretation of it. I changed the sequence of things to put them in proper order.